

LNCS 12954

Osvaldo Gervasi · Beniamino Murgante ·
Sanjay Misra · Chiara Garau · Ivan Blečić ·
David Taniar · Bernady O. Apduhan ·
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha · Eufemia Tarantino ·
Carmelo Maria Torre (Eds.)

Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2021

21st International Conference
Cagliari, Italy, September 13–16, 2021
Proceedings, Part VI

6 Part VI



 Springer

Founding Editors

Gerhard Goos

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

Juris Hartmanis

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Editorial Board Members

Elisa Bertino

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

Wen Gao

Peking University, Beijing, China

Bernhard Steffen 

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Gerhard Woeginger 

RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

Moti Yung

Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

More information about this subseries at <http://www.springer.com/series/7407>


Osvaldo Gervasi · Beniamino Murgante ·
Sanjay Misra · Chiara Garau ·
Ivan Blečić · David Taniar ·
Bernady O. Apduhan · Ana Maria A. C. Rocha ·
Eufemia Tarantino · Carmelo Maria Torre (Eds.)

Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2021

21st International Conference
Cagliari, Italy, September 13–16, 2021
Proceedings, Part VI

 Springer

Editors


Oswaldo Gervasi 
University of Perugia
Perugia, Italy


Sanjay Misra 
Covenant University
Ota, Nigeria

Ivan Blečić 
University of Cagliari
Cagliari, Italy


Bernady O. Apduhan
Kyushu Sangyo University
Fukuoka, Japan


Eufemia Tarantino 
Polytechnic University of Bari
Bari, Italy

Beniamino Murgante 
University of Basilicata
Potenza, Potenza, Italy

Chiara Garau 
University of Cagliari
Cagliari, Italy

David Taniar 
Monash University
Clayton, VIC, Australia

Ana Maria A. C. Rocha 
University of Minho
Braga, Portugal

Carmelo Maria Torre 
Polytechnic University of Bari
Bari, Italy

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)
Lecture Notes in Computer Science
ISBN 978-3-030-86978-6 ISBN 978-3-030-86979-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-86979-3>

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 – Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

These 10 volumes (LNCS volumes 12949–12958) consist of the peer-reviewed papers from the 21st International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications (ICCSA 2021) which took place during September 13–16, 2021. By virtue of the vaccination campaign conducted in various countries around the world, we decided to try a hybrid conference, with some of the delegates attending in person at the University of Cagliari and others attending in virtual mode, reproducing the infrastructure established last year.

This year's edition was a successful continuation of the ICCSA conference series, which was also held as a virtual event in 2020, and previously held in Saint Petersburg, Russia (2019), Melbourne, Australia (2018), Trieste, Italy (2017), Beijing, China (2016), Banff, Canada (2015), Guimaraes, Portugal (2014), Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (2013), Salvador, Brazil (2012), Santander, Spain (2011), Fukuoka, Japan (2010), Suwon, South Korea (2009), Perugia, Italy (2008), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (2007), Glasgow, UK (2006), Singapore (2005), Assisi, Italy (2004), Montreal, Canada (2003), and (as ICCS) Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2002) and San Francisco, USA (2001).

Computational science is the main pillar of most of the present research on understanding and solving complex problems. It plays a unique role in exploiting innovative ICT technologies and in the development of industrial and commercial applications. The ICCSA conference series provides a venue for researchers and industry practitioners to discuss new ideas, to share complex problems and their solutions, and to shape new trends in computational science.

Apart from the six main conference tracks, ICCSA 2021 also included 52 workshops in various areas of computational sciences, ranging from computational science technologies to specific areas of computational sciences, such as software engineering, security, machine learning and artificial intelligence, blockchain technologies, and applications in many fields. In total, we accepted 494 papers, giving an acceptance rate of 30%, of which 18 papers were short papers and 6 were published open access. We would like to express our appreciation for the workshop chairs and co-chairs for their hard work and dedication.

The success of the ICCSA conference series in general, and of ICCSA 2021 in particular, vitally depends on the support of many people: authors, presenters, participants, keynote speakers, workshop chairs, session chairs, organizing committee members, student volunteers, Program Committee members, advisory committee members, international liaison chairs, reviewers, and others in various roles. We take this opportunity to wholeheartedly thank them all.

We also wish to thank Springer for publishing the proceedings, for sponsoring some of the best paper awards, and for their kind assistance and cooperation during the editing process.

We cordially invite you to visit the ICCSA website <https://iccsa.org> where you can find all the relevant information about this interesting and exciting event.

September 2021

Oswaldo Gervasi
Beniamino Murgante
Sanjay Misra

Welcome Message from the Organizers

COVID-19 has continued to alter our plans for organizing the ICCSA 2021 conference, so although vaccination plans are progressing worldwide, the spread of virus variants still forces us into a period of profound uncertainty. Only a very limited number of participants were able to enjoy the beauty of Sardinia and Cagliari in particular, rediscovering the immense pleasure of meeting again, albeit safely spaced out. The social events, in which we rediscovered the ancient values that abound on this wonderful island and in this city, gave us even more strength and hope for the future. For the management of the virtual part of the conference, we consolidated the methods, organization, and infrastructure of ICCSA 2020.

The technological infrastructure was based on open source software, with the addition of the streaming channels on YouTube. In particular, we used Jitsi (jitsi.org) for videoconferencing, Riot (riot.im) together with Matrix (matrix.org) for chat and asynchronous communication, and Jibri (github.com/jitsi/jibri) for streaming live sessions to YouTube.

Seven Jitsi servers were set up, one for each parallel session. The participants of the sessions were helped and assisted by eight student volunteers (from the universities of Cagliari, Florence, Perugia, and Bari), who provided technical support and ensured smooth running of the conference proceedings.

The implementation of the software infrastructure and the technical coordination of the volunteers were carried out by Damiano Perri and Marco Simonetti.

Our warmest thanks go to all the student volunteers, to the technical coordinators, and to the development communities of Jitsi, Jibri, Riot, and Matrix, who made their terrific platforms available as open source software.

A big thank you goes to all of the 450 speakers, many of whom showed an enormous collaborative spirit, sometimes participating and presenting at almost prohibitive times of the day, given that the participants of this year's conference came from 58 countries scattered over many time zones of the globe.

Finally, we would like to thank Google for letting us stream all the live events via YouTube. In addition to lightening the load of our Jitsi servers, this allowed us to record the event and to be able to review the most exciting moments of the conference.

Ivan Blečić
Chiara Garau

Organization

ICCSA 2021 was organized by the University of Cagliari (Italy), the University of Perugia (Italy), the University of Basilicata (Italy), Monash University (Australia), Kyushu Sangyo University (Japan), and the University of Minho (Portugal).

Honorary General Chairs

Norio Shiratori	Chuo University, Japan
Kenneth C. J. Tan	Sardina Systems, UK
Corrado Zoppi	University of Cagliari, Italy

General Chairs

Osvaldo Gervasi	University of Perugia, Italy
Ivan Blečić	University of Cagliari, Italy
David Taniar	Monash University, Australia

Program Committee Chairs

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Bernady O. Apduhan	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal

International Advisory Committee

Jemal Abawajy	Deakin University, Australia
Dharma P. Agarwal	University of Cincinnati, USA
Rajkumar Buyya	University of Melbourne, Australia
Claudia Bauzer Medeiros	University of Campinas, Brazil
Manfred M. Fisher	Vienna University of Economics and Business, Austria
Marina L. Gavrilova	University of Calgary, Canada
Yee Leung	Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

International Liaison Chairs

Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Elise De Donker	Western Michigan University, USA
Maria Irene Falcão	University of Minho, Portugal
Robert C. H. Hsu	Chung Hua University, Taiwan
Tai-Hoon Kim	Beijing Jiaotong University, China

Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
Takashi Naka	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Rafael D. C. Santos	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
Maribel Yasmina Santos	University of Minho, Portugal
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia

Workshop and Session Chairs

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
Jorge Gustavo Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal

Awards Chair

Wenny Rahayu	La Trobe University, Australia
--------------	--------------------------------

Publicity Committee Chairs

Elmer Dadios	De La Salle University, Philippines
Nataliia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Daisuke Takahashi	Tsukuba University, Japan
Shangwang Wang	Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China

Technology Chairs

Damiano Perri	University of Florence, Italy
Marco Simonetti	University of Florence, Italy

Local Arrangement Chairs

Ivan Blečić	University of Cagliari, Italy
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Alfonso Annunziata	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Giuseppe Borruo	University of Trieste, Italy
Alessandro Buccini	University of Cagliari, Italy
Michele Campagna	University of Cagliari, Italy
Mauro Coni	University of Cagliari, Italy
Anna Maria Colavitti	University of Cagliari, Italy
Giulia Desogus	University of Cagliari, Italy
Caterina Fenu	University of Cagliari, Italy
Sabrina Lai	University of Cagliari, Italy
Francesca Maltinti	University of Cagliari, Italy
Pasquale Mistretta	University of Cagliari, Italy

Augusto Montisci	University of Cagliari, Italy
Francesco Pinna	University of Cagliari, Italy
Davide Spano	University of Cagliari, Italy
Giuseppe A. Trunfio	University of Sassari, Italy
Corrado Zoppi	University of Cagliari, Italy

Program Committee

Vera Afreixo	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Filipe Alvelos	University of Minho, Portugal
Hartmut Asche	University of Potsdam, Germany
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Michela Bertolotto	University College Dublin, Ireland
Sandro Bimonte	INRAE-TSCF, France
Rod Blais	University of Calgary, Canada
Ivan Blečić	University of Sassari, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Ana Cristina Braga	University of Minho, Portugal
Massimo Cafaro	University of Salento, Italy
Yves Caniou	University of Lyon, France
José A. Cardoso e Cunha	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Rui Cardoso	University of Beira Interior, Portugal
Leocadio G. Casado	University of Almeria, Spain
Carlo Cattani	University of Salerno, Italy
Mete Celik	Erciyes University, Turkey
Maria Cerreta	University of Naples “Federico II”, Italy
Hyunseung Choo	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Chien-Sing Lee	Sunway University, Malaysia
Min Young Chung	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Florbela Maria da Cruz	Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Domingues Correia	
Gilberto Corso Pereira	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil
Fernanda Costa	University of Minho, Portugal
Alessandro Costantini	INFN, Italy
Carla Dal Sasso Freitas	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Pradesh Debba	The Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), South Africa
Hendrik Decker	Instituto Tecnológico de Informática, Spain
Robertas Damaševičius	Kausan University of Technology, Lithuania
Frank Devai	London South Bank University, UK
Rodolphe Devillers	Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
Joana Matos Dias	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Paolino Di Felice	University of L'Aquila, Italy
Prabu Dorairaj	NetApp, India/USA
Noelia Faginas Lago	University of Perugia, Italy
M. Irene Falcao	University of Minho, Portugal

Cherry Liu Fang	Ames Laboratory, USA
Florbela P. Fernandes	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Jose-Jesus Fernandez	National Centre for Biotechnology, Spain
Paula Odete Fernandes	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Adelaide de Fátima Baptista	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Valente Freitas	
Manuel Carlos Figueiredo	University of Minho, Portugal
Maria Celia Furtado Rocha	Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brazil
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Paulino Jose Garcia Nieto	University of Oviedo, Spain
Jerome Gensel	LSR-IMAG, France
Maria Giaoutzi	National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Arminda Manuela Andrade	University of Minho, Portugal
Pereira Gonçalves	
Andrzej M. Goscinski	Deakin University, Australia
Eduardo Guerra	Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy
Sevin Gümğüm	Izmir University of Economics, Turkey
Alex Hagen-Zanker	University of Cambridge, UK
Shanmugasundaram	B.S. Abdur Rahman University, India
Hariharan	
Eligius M. T. Hendrix	University of Malaga, Spain/Wageningen University, The Netherlands
Hisamoto Hiyoshi	Gunma University, Japan
Mustafa Inceoglu	EGE University, Turkey
Peter Jimack	University of Leeds, UK
Qun Jin	Waseda University, Japan
Yeliz Karaca	University of Massachusetts Medical School, USA
Farid Karimipour	Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Baris Kazar	Oracle Corp., USA
Maulana Adhinugraha Kiki	Telkom University, Indonesia
DongSeong Kim	University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Taihoon Kim	Hannam University, South Korea
Ivana Kolingerova	University of West Bohemia, Czech Republic
Nataliia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Rosa Lasaponara	National Research Council, Italy
Maurizio Lazzari	National Research Council, Italy
Cheng Siong Lee	Monash University, Australia
Sangyoun Lee	Yonsei University, South Korea
Jongchan Lee	Kunsan National University, South Korea
Chendong Li	University of Connecticut, USA
Gang Li	Deakin University, Australia
Fang Liu	Ames Laboratory, USA
Xin Liu	University of Calgary, Canada
Andrea Lombardi	University of Perugia, Italy
Savino Longo	University of Bari, Italy

Tinghuai Ma	Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, China
Ernesto Marcheggiani	Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Antonino Marvuglia	Research Centre Henri Tudor, Luxembourg
Nicola Masini	National Research Council, Italy
Ilaria Matteucci	National Research Council, Italy
Eric Medvet	University of Trieste, Italy
Nirvana Meratnia	University of Twente, The Netherlands
Giuseppe Modica	University of Reggio Calabria, Italy
Josè Luis Montaña	University of Cantabria, Spain
Maria Filipa Mourão	Instituto Politécnico de Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Louiza de Macedo Mourelle	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Nadia Nedjah	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Laszlo Neumann	University of Girona, Spain
Kok-Leong Ong	Deakin University, Australia
Belen Palop	Universidad de Valladolid, Spain
Marcin Paprzycki	Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
Eric Pardede	La Trobe University, Australia
Kwangjin Park	Wonkwang University, South Korea
Ana Isabel Pereira	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Massimiliano Petri	University of Pisa, Italy
Telmo Pinto	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Maurizio Pollino	Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Italy
Alenka Poplin	University of Hamburg, Germany
Vidyasagar Potdar	Curtin University of Technology, Australia
David C. Proserpi	Florida Atlantic University, USA
Wenny Rahayu	La Trobe University, Australia
Jerzy Respondek	Silesian University of Technology Poland
Humberto Rocha	INESC-Coimbra, Portugal
Jon Rokne	University of Calgary, Canada
Octavio Roncero	CSIC, Spain
Maytham Safar	Kuwait University, Kuwait
Francesco Santini	University of Perugia, Italy
Chiara Saracino	A.O. Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda, Italy
Haiduke Sarafian	Pennsylvania State University, USA
Marco Paulo Seabra dos Reis	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Jie Shen	University of Michigan, USA
Qi Shi	Liverpool John Moores University, UK
Dale Shires	U.S. Army Research Laboratory, USA
Inês Soares	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Takuo Suganuma	Tohoku University, Japan
Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy

Ana Paula Teixeira	University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, Portugal
Senhorinha Teixeira	University of Minho, Portugal
M. Filomena Teodoro	Portuguese Naval Academy/University of Lisbon, Portugal
Parimala Thulasiraman	University of Manitoba, Canada
Carmelo Torre	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Javier Martinez Torres	Centro Universitario de la Defensa Zaragoza, Spain
Giuseppe A. Trunfio	University of Sassari, Italy
Pablo Vanegas	University of Cuenca, Ecuador
Marco Vizzari	University of Perugia, Italy
Varun Vohra	Merck Inc., USA
Koichi Wada	University of Tsukuba, Japan
Krzysztof Walkowiak	Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland
Zequn Wang	Intelligent Automation Inc, USA
Robert Weibel	University of Zurich, Switzerland
Frank Westad	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Roland Wismüller	Universität Siegen, Germany
Mudasser Wyne	National University, USA
Chung-Huang Yang	National Kaohsiung Normal University, Taiwan
Xin-She Yang	National Physical Laboratory, UK
Salim Zabir	National Institute of Technology, Tsuruoka, Japan
Haifeng Zhao	University of California, Davis, USA
Fabiana Zollo	University of Venice “Ca Foscari”, Italy
Albert Y. Zomaya	University of Sydney, Australia

Workshop Organizers

Advanced Transport Tools and Methods (A2TM 2021)

Massimiliano Petri	University of Pisa, Italy
Antonio Pratelli	University of Pisa, Italy

Advances in Artificial Intelligence Learning Technologies: Blended Learning, STEM, Computational Thinking and Coding (AAILT 2021)

Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Giulio Biondi	University of Florence, Italy
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy

Workshop on Advancements in Applied Machine Learning and Data Analytics (AAMDA 2021)

Alessandro Costantini	INFN, Italy
Davide Salomoni	INFN, Italy
Doina Cristina Duma	INFN, Italy
Daniele Cesini	INFN, Italy

Automatic Landform Classification: Spatial Methods and Applications (ALCSMA 2021)

Maria Danese	ISPC, National Research Council, Italy
Dario Gioia	ISPC, National Research Council, Italy

Application of Numerical Analysis to Imaging Science (ANAIS 2021)

Caterina Fenu	University of Cagliari, Italy
Alessandro Buccini	University of Cagliari, Italy

Advances in Information Systems and Technologies for Emergency Management, Risk Assessment and Mitigation Based on the Resilience Concepts (ASTER 2021)

Maurizio Pollino	ENEA, Italy
Marco Vona	University of Basilicata, Italy
Amedeo Flora	University of Basilicata, Italy
Chiara Iacovino	University of Basilicata, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy

Advances in Web Based Learning (AWBL 2021)

Birol Ciloglulil	Ege University, Turkey
Mustafa Murat Inceoglu	Ege University, Turkey

Blockchain and Distributed Ledgers: Technologies and Applications (BDLTA 2021)

Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Nataliia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg University, Russia

Bio and Neuro Inspired Computing and Applications (BIONCA 2021)

Nadia Nedjah	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Luiza De Macedo Mourelle	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Computational and Applied Mathematics (CAM 2021)

Maria Irene Falcão	University of Minho, Portugal
Fernando Miranda	University of Minho, Portugal

Computational and Applied Statistics (CAS 2021)

Ana Cristina Braga	University of Minho, Portugal
--------------------	-------------------------------

Computerized Evaluation of Economic Activities: Urban Spaces (CEEAA 2021)

Diego Altafini	Università di Pisa, Italy
Valerio Cutini	Università di Pisa, Italy

Computational Geometry and Applications (CGA 2021)

Marina Gavrilova University of Calgary, Canada

Collaborative Intelligence in Multimodal Applications (CIMA 2021)

Robertas Damasevicius Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania
Rytis Maskeliunas Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania

Computational Optimization and Applications (COA 2021)

Ana Rocha University of Minho, Portugal
Humberto Rocha University of Coimbra, Portugal

Computational Astrochemistry (CompAstro 2021)

Marzio Rosi University of Perugia, Italy
Cecilia Ceccarelli University of Grenoble, France
Stefano Falcinelli University of Perugia, Italy
Dimitrios Skouteris Master-Up, Italy

Computational Science and HPC (CSHPC 2021)

Elise de Doncker Western Michigan University, USA
Fukuko Yuasa High Energy Accelerator Research Organization
(KEK), Japan
Hideo Matsufuru High Energy Accelerator Research Organization
(KEK), Japan

Cities, Technologies and Planning (CTP 2021)

Malgorzata Hanzl University of Łódź, Poland
Beniamino Murgante University of Basilicata, Italy
Ljiljana Zivkovic Ministry of Construction, Transport and
Infrastructure/Institute of Architecture and Urban
and Spatial Planning of Serbia, Serbia
Anastasia Stratigea National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Giuseppe Borruso University of Trieste, Italy
Ginevra Balletto University of Cagliari, Italy

Advanced Modeling E-Mobility in Urban Spaces (DEMOS 2021)

Tiziana Campisi Kore University of Enna, Italy
Socrates Basbas Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
Ioannis Politis Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
Florin Nemtanu Polytechnic University of Bucharest, Romania
Giovanna Acampa Kore University of Enna, Italy
Wolfgang Schulz Zeppelin University, Germany

Digital Transformation and Smart City (DIGISMART 2021)

Mauro Mazzei National Research Council, Italy

Econometric and Multidimensional Evaluation in Urban Environment (EMEUE 2021)

Carmelo Maria Torre	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Maria Cerreta	University “Federico II” of Naples, Italy
Pierluigi Morano	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Simona Panaro	University of Portsmouth, UK
Francesco Tajani	Sapienza University of Rome, Italy
Marco Locurcio	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy

The 11th International Workshop on Future Computing System Technologies and Applications (FiSTA 2021)

Bernady Apduhan	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Rafael Santos	Brazilian National Institute for Space Research, Brazil

Transformational Urban Mobility: Challenges and Opportunities During and Post COVID Era (FURTHER 2021)

Tiziana Campisi	Kore University of Enna, Italy
Socrates Basbas	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
Dilum Dissanayake	Newcastle University, UK
Kh Md Nahiduzzaman	University of British Columbia, Canada
Nurten Akgün Tanbay	Bursa Technical University, Turkey
Khaled J. Assi	King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Saudi Arabia
Giovanni Tesoriere	Kore University of Enna, Italy
Motasem Darwish	Middle East University, Jordan

Geodesign in Decision Making: Meta Planning and Collaborative Design for Sustainable and Inclusive Development (GDM 2021)

Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy
Michele Campagna	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ana Clara Mourao Moura	Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil

Geomatics in Forestry and Agriculture: New Advances and Perspectives (GeoForAgr 2021)

Maurizio Pollino	ENEA, Italy
Giuseppe Modica	University of Reggio Calabria, Italy
Marco Vizzari	University of Perugia, Italy

**Geographical Analysis, Urban Modeling, Spatial Statistics
(GEOG-AND-MOD 2021)**

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Hartmut Asche	University of Potsdam, Germany

Geomatics for Resource Monitoring and Management (GRMM 2021)

Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Enrico Borgogno Mondino	University of Turin, Italy
Alessandra Capolupo	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Mirko Saponaro	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy

12th International Symposium on Software Quality (ISSQ 2021)

Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
--------------	------------------------------

**10th International Workshop on Collective, Massive and Evolutionary
Systems (IWCES 2021)**

Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Rajdeep Niyogi	Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, India

Land Use Monitoring for Sustainability (LUMS 2021)

Carmelo Maria Torre	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Maria Cerreta	University “Federico II” of Naples, Italy
Massimiliano Bencardino	University of Salerno, Italy
Alessandro Bonifazi	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Pasquale Balena	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Giuliano Poli	University “Federico II” of Naples, Italy

Machine Learning for Space and Earth Observation Data (MALSEOD 2021)

Rafael Santos	Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, Brazil
Karine Ferreira	Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, Brazil

**Building Multi-dimensional Models for Assessing Complex Environmental
Systems (MES 2021)**

Marta Dell’Ovo	Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy
Vanessa Assumma	Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy
Caterina Caprioli	Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy
Giulia Datola	Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy
Federico dell’Anna	Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy

Ecosystem Services: Nature's Contribution to People in Practice. Assessment Frameworks, Models, Mapping, and Implications (NC2P 2021)

Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy
Sabrina Lai	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ana Clara Mourao Moura	Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil
Corrado Zoppi	University of Cagliari, Italy
Dani Broitman	Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Israel

Privacy in the Cloud/Edge/IoT World (PCEIoT 2021)

Michele Mastroianni	University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy
Lelio Campanile	University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy
Mauro Iacono	University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy

Processes, Methods and Tools Towards RESilient Cities and Cultural Heritage Prone to SOD and ROD Disasters (RES 2021)

Elena Cantatore	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Alberico Sonnessa	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Dario Esposito	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy

Risk, Resilience and Sustainability in the Efficient Management of Water Resources: Approaches, Tools, Methodologies and Multidisciplinary Integrated Applications (RRS 2021)

Maria Macchiaroli	University of Salerno, Italy
Chiara D'Alpaos	Università degli Studi di Padova, Italy
Mirka Mobilia	Università degli Studi di Salerno, Italy
Antonia Longobardi	Università degli Studi di Salerno, Italy
Grazia Fattoruso	ENEA Research Center, Italy
Vincenzo Pellecchia	Ente Idrico Campano, Italy

Scientific Computing Infrastructure (SCI 2021)

Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Natalia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg University, Russia

Smart Cities and User Data Management (SCIDAM 2021)

Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Luigi Mundula	University of Cagliari, Italy
Gianni Fenu	University of Cagliari, Italy
Paolo Nesi	University of Florence, Italy
Paola Zamperlin	University of Pisa, Italy

13th International Symposium on Software Engineering Processes and Applications (SEPA 2021)

Sanjay Misra Covenant University, Nigeria

Ports of the Future - Smartness and Sustainability (SmartPorts 2021)

Patrizia Serra	University of Cagliari, Italy
Gianfranco Fancello	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Luigi Mundula	University of Cagliari, Italy
Marco Mazzarino	University of Venice, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Maria del Mar Munoz	Universidad de Cádiz, Spain
Leonisio	

Smart Tourism (SmartTourism 2021)

Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Silvia Battino	University of Sassari, Italy
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Maria del Mar Munoz	Universidad de Cádiz, Spain
Leonisio	
Ainhua Amaro Garcia	Universidad de Alcalá/Universidad de Las Palmas, Spain
Francesca Krasna	University of Trieste, Italy

Sustainability Performance Assessment: Models, Approaches and Applications toward Interdisciplinary and Integrated Solutions (SPA 2021)

Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy
Sabrina Lai	University of Cagliari, Italy
Jolanta Dvarioniene	Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania
Valentin Grecu	Lucian Blaga University, Romania
Corrado Zoppi	University of Cagliari, Italy
Iole Cerminara	University of Basilicata, Italy

Smart and Sustainable Island Communities (SSIC 2021)

Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Anastasia Stratigea	National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Paola Zamperlin	University of Pisa, Italy
Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy

Science, Technologies and Policies to Innovate Spatial Planning (STP4P 2021)

Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Daniele La Rosa	University of Catania, Italy
Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy

Anna Maria Colavitti	University of Cagliari, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Paolo La Greca	University of Catania, Italy

Sustainable Urban Energy Systems (SURENSYS 2021)

Luigi Mundula	University of Cagliari, Italy
Emilio Ghiani	University of Cagliari, Italy

Space Syntax for Cities in Theory and Practice (Syntax_City 2021)

Claudia Yamu	University of Groningen, The Netherlands
Akkelies van Nes	Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Norway
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy

Theoretical and Computational Chemistry and Its Applications (TCCMA 2021)

Noelia Faginas-Lago	University of Perugia, Italy
---------------------	------------------------------

13th International Workshop on Tools and Techniques in Software Development Process (TTSDP 2021)

Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
--------------	------------------------------

Urban Form Studies (UForm 2021)

Malgorzata Hanzl	Łódź University of Technology, Poland
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Irena Itova	University of Westminster, UK

Urban Space Accessibility and Safety (USAS 2021)

Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Francesco Pinna	University of Cagliari, Italy
Claudia Yamu	University of Groningen, The Netherlands
Vincenza Torrisi	University of Catania, Italy
Matteo Ignaccolo	University of Catania, Italy
Michela Tiboni	University of Brescia, Italy
Silvia Rossetti	University of Parma, Italy

Virtual and Augmented Reality and Applications (VRA 2021)

Osvaldo Gervasi	University of Perugia, Italy
Damiano Perri	University of Perugia, Italy
Marco Simonetti	University of Perugia, Italy
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy

Workshop on Advanced and Computational Methods for Earth Science Applications (WACM4ES 2021)

Luca Piroddi	University of Cagliari, Italy
Laura Foddis	University of Cagliari, Italy
Augusto Montisci	University of Cagliari, Italy
Sergio Vincenzo Calcina	University of Cagliari, Italy
Sebastiano D'Amico	University of Malta, Malta
Giovanni Martinelli	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Italy/Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Sponsoring Organizations

ICCSA 2021 would not have been possible without the tremendous support of many organizations and institutions, for which all organizers and participants of ICCSA 2021 express their sincere gratitude:



Springer International Publishing AG, Germany
(<https://www.springer.com>)



Computers Open Access Journal
(<https://www.mdpi.com/journal/computers>)



IEEE Italy Section, Italy
(<https://italy.ieeer8.org/>)



Centre-North Italy Chapter IEEE GRSS, Italy
(<https://cispio.diet.uniroma1.it/marzano/ieee-grs/index.html>)



Italy Section of the Computer Society, Italy
(<https://site.ieee.org/italy-cs/>)



University of Perugia, Italy
(<https://www.unipg.it>)



University of Cagliari, Italy
(<https://unica.it/>)



University of Basilicata, Italy
(<http://www.unibas.it>)



Monash University, Australia
(<https://www.monash.edu/>)



Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
(<https://www.kyusan-u.ac.jp/>)



Universidade do Minho
Escola de Engenharia

University of Minho, Portugal
(<https://www.uminho.pt/>)



Scientific Association Transport Infrastructures,
Italy
(<https://www.stradeeautostrade.it/associazioni-e-organizzazioni/asit-associazione-scientifica-infrastrutture-trasporto/>)



Regione Sardegna, Italy
(<https://regione.sardegna.it/>)



Comune di Cagliari, Italy
(<https://www.comune.cagliari.it/>)



Città Metropolitana di Cagliari



Cagliari Accessibility Lab (CAL)
(https://www.unica.it/unica/it/cagliari_accessibility_lab.page/)

Contents – Part VI

International Workshop on Digital Transformation and Smart City (DIGISMART 2021)

Analysis of Regional Imbalances in Italy Based on Cluster Analysis	3
<i>Massimo De Maria, Mauro Mazzei, Oleg V. Bik, and Armando L. Palma</i>	

New Smart Mobility Applications: Preliminary Findings on a Pilot Study in the Municipality of Artana	21
<i>Mauro D'Apuzzo, Azzurra Evangelisti, Daniela Santilli, Stefano Buzzi, Mauro Mazzei, and Viviana Bietoni</i>	

International Workshop on Econometrics and Multidimensional Evaluation in Urban Environment (EMEUE 2021)

The Benefit Transfer Method for the Economic Evaluation of Urban Forests	39
<i>Francesco Sica and Antonio Nesticò</i>	

The Effects of Covid-19 Pandemic on the Housing Market: A Case Study in Rome (Italy)	50
<i>Francesco Tajani, Pierluigi Morano, Felicia Di Liddo, Maria Rosaria Guarini, and Rossana Ranieri</i>	

The Contribution of the Most Influencing Factors on the Housing Rents: An Analysis in the City of Milan (Italy)	63
<i>Pierluigi Morano, Francesco Tajani, Felicia Di Liddo, Rossana Ranieri, and Paola Amoruso</i>	

The Paradox of Fiscal Inequality in Italy: Exploratory Analyses on Property Tax Rates.	77
<i>Rocco Curto, Alice Barreca, Giorgia Malavasi, and Diana Rolando</i>	

The Financial Costs in Energy Efficient District. Alternative Scenarios from the Demo Sites of the CITYFiED Program	93
<i>Simona Barbaro and Grazia Napoli</i>	

Inclusive Strategic Programming: Methodological Aspects of the Case Study of the Jonian Valleys of Peloritani (Sicily, Italy)	109
<i>Giuseppe Bombino, Francesco Calabrò, Giuseppina Cassalia, Lidia Errante, and Viviana Vinci</i>	

New Housing Preferences in the COVID-19 Era: A Best-to-Worst Scaling Experiment	120
<i>Marta Bottero, Marina Bravi, Caterina Caprioli, Federico Dell'Anna, Marta Dell'Ovo, and Alessandra Oppio</i>	
An Analysis of the Methods Applied for the Assessment of the Market Value of Residential Properties in Italian Judicial Procedures	130
<i>Francesco Tajani, Felicia Di Liddo, Paola Amoruso, Francesco Sica, and Ivana La Spina</i>	
Integrated Statistical Data for Planning Social Housing in the City of Taranto	142
<i>Paola Perchinunno and Francesco Rotondo</i>	
Reconstruction as an Opportunity to Promote Local Self-sustainable Development of Shrinking Territories in Seismic Inner Areas in Central Italy	153
<i>Luca Domenella, Marco Galasso, Giovanni Marinelli, and Francesco Rotondo</i>	
Urban Regeneration Processes and Social Impact: A Literature Review to Explore the Role of Evaluation	167
<i>Maria Cerreta and Ludovica La Rocca</i>	
Using Artificial Neural Networks to Uncover Real Estate Market Transparency: The Market Value	183
<i>Laura Gabrielli, Aurora Greta Ruggeri, and Massimiliano Scarpa</i>	
Creative Ecosystem Services: Valuing Benefits of Innovative Cultural Networks	193
<i>Giuliano Poli and Gaia Daldanise</i>	
Ecosystem Services and Land Take. A Composite Indicator for the Assessment of Sustainable Urban Projects	210
<i>Pierluigi Morano, Maria Rosaria Guarini, Francesco Sica, and Debora Anelli</i>	
Building Industry and Energy Efficiency: A Review of Three Major Issues at Stake	226
<i>Sergio Copiello, Laura Gabrielli, and Ezio Micelli</i>	
An Evaluation Model for the Optimization of Property Sales in Auction Markets	241
<i>Francesco Tajani, Pierluigi Morano, Marco Locurcio, Paola Amoruso, and Carmelo Maria Torre</i>	

Urban Transformation Interventions: A Decision Support Model for a Fair <i>Rent Gap</i> Recapture	253
<i>Pierluigi Morano, Francesco Tajani, Vincenzo del Giudice, Pierfrancesco De Paola, and Debora Anelli</i>	
An Optimization Model for Supporting the Property Asset Allocation Decision-Making Process	265
<i>Francesco Tajani, Marco Locurcio, Pierluigi Morano, and Debora Anelli</i>	
The Risks Assessment in the Project Financing Initiative for the Cemetery Expansion Intervention in a Small Town in Southern Italy	277
<i>Marco Locurcio, Pierluigi Morano, Francesco Tajani, Felicia Di Liddo, and Carmelo Maria Torre</i>	
A Citizen-Led Spatial Information System for Collaborative (Post-) pandemic Urban Strategies: The Ponticelli Experience, Naples (Italy)	293
<i>Maria Cerreta, Luigi Liccardi, and Maria Reitano</i>	
The Knowledge Phase of the Strategic Programming: The Case Study of the Jonian Valleys of Peloritani (Sicily, Italy)	307
<i>Giuseppe Bombino, Francesco Calabrò, Giuseppina Cassalia, Lidia Errante, and Viviana Vinci</i>	
International Workshop on Transformational Urban Mobility: Challenges and Opportunities During and Post COVID Era (FURTHER 2021)	
Developing Flexible Mobility On-Demand in the Era of Mobility as a Service: An Overview of the Italian Context Before and After Pandemic.	323
<i>Tiziana Campisi, Chiara Garau, Giovanna Acampa, Francesca Maltinti, Antonino Canale, and Mauro Coni</i>	
Factors Influencing Public Transport Demand in Sicily During COVID-19 Era: A Study of Commuters' Travel and Mode Choice Behaviors	339
<i>Socrates Basbas, Georgios Georgiadis, Tiziana Campisi, and Giovanni Tesoriere</i>	
Standard Cost of Local Public Transport in the Post-COVID-19 Era: The Italian Case	354
<i>G. Acampa, M. Grasso, C. M. Parisi, D. Ticali, and A. Severino</i>	
COVID-19's Effects over E-commerce: A Preliminary Statistical Assessment for Some European Countries	370
<i>Tiziana Campisi, Antonio Russo, Giovanni Tesoriere, Efsthios Bouhouras, and Socrates Basbas</i>	

The Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on the Perception of Public Transportation Users in Amman (Jordan)	386
<i>Motasem Darwish, Tiziana Campisi, and Ghaida Abu Rumman</i>	

**International Workshop on Geodesign in Decision Making:
Meta Planning and Collaborative Design for Sustainable
and Inclusive Development (GDM 2021)**

Landscape Information Modelling to Improve Feedback in the Geodesign International Collaboration for Carbon Credit Enhancement in Metropolitan Regions – The Case Study of Fortaleza, Brazil	405
<i>Newton Moura, Joana Guedes, Emiliano Cavalcante, Morganna Oliveira, Ana Maia, Anne Castro, Eugênio Moreira, Daniel Cardoso, and Vitor Sampaio</i>	

Decision Making and Geodesign: A Collaborative Territorial Planning Proposal for the Metropolitan Region of Belém, Pará, Brazil	420
<i>Alan Nunes Araújo, Tiago Barreto de Andrade Costa, Bruno Daniel das Neves Benitez, Fabricio Martins Silva, and Joabi Luiz Lima De Lima</i>	

Geodesign Applied to Propositional Scenarios of Medium and Long-Term Sustainable Projects for Rio de Janeiro Metropolitan Region, Brazil	437
<i>Tiago Badre Marino, César Augusto Barra Rocha, Ashiley Adelaide Rosa, and Tiago Augusto Gonçalves Mello</i>	

Geodesign Using GISColab Platform: SDI Consumed by WMS and WFS & WPS Protocols in Transformative-Learning Actions in Planning	448
<i>Ana Clara Mourão Moura, Christian Rezende Freitas, Vanessa Tenuta de Freitas, and Ana Isabel Anastasia de Sa</i>	

Geodesign Brazil: Trees for the Metropolitan Area of São Paulo	463
<i>Adriana Afonso Sandre, Amanda Lombardo Fruehauf, Augusto Akio Lucchezi Miyahara, Ashiley Adelaide Rosa, Cíntia Miua Maruyama, Giuliano Maselli Locoselli, Leticia Figueiredo Candido, Magda Adelaide Lombardo, Matheus Aguiar Coelho, Rafael Pollastrini Murolo, Riciane Maria Reis Pombo, Taícia Helena Negrin Marques, and Paulo Renato Mesquita Pellegrino</i>	

The Potential of Geodesign for the Optimization of Land Use in the Perspective of Sustainability: Case Study of the Metropolitan Region of Campinas	476
<i>Andréia Medinilha Pancher, Ana Isabel de Sá, Marcelo Costa, and Tiago Oyan Aguiar</i>	

Using Geodesign to Plan the Future of Macapa Metropolitan Region, State of Amapa, Brazil: A Support to Expanding Collaborative Technical Performance.	491
<i>Gustavo Adolfo Tinoco Martínez, Fabiana Carmo de Vargas Vieira, Caroline Cristiane Rocha, Ana Corina Maia Palheta, and Sara Heloiza Alberto Neri</i>	
Asynchronous Mode in the Webgis: A Challenge to Ensure Greater Popular Participation	507
<i>Patricia PortoCarreiro, Patricia Vieira Trinta, and Thiago Lima e Lima</i>	
11th International Workshop on Future Computing System Technologies and Applications (FiSTA 2021)	
Deep Fake Recognition in Tweets Using Text Augmentation, Word Embeddings and Deep Learning.	523
<i>Senait G. Tesfagergish, Robertas Damaševičius, and Jurgita Kapočiūtė-Dzikienė</i>	
Development of an RL-Based Mechanism to Augment Computation Offloading in Edge Computing	539
<i>Shintaro Ide and Bernady O. Apduhan</i>	
An Initial Assessment of a Chatbot for Rumination-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (RFCBT) in College Students.	549
<i>Alana Lucia Souza Oliveira, Leonardo Nogueira Matos, Methanias Colaço Junior, and Zenith Nara Costa Delabrida</i>	
Price Forecasting with Deep Learning in Business to Consumer Markets	565
<i>Emre Eğriboz and Mehmet S. Aktaş</i>	
Modeling and Verification of Contactless Mobile Banking System in E-Banking Using SPIN	581
<i>Tej Narayan Thakur and Noriaki Yoshiura</i>	
International Workshop on Geographical Analysis, Urban Modeling, Spatial Statistics (GEOG-AND-MOD 2021)	
Earthquake Prediction Based on Combined Seismic and GPS Monitoring Data	601
<i>V. G. Gitis, A. B. Derendyaev, and K. N. Petrov</i>	
Survey of a Peruvian Archaeological Site Using LiDAR and Photogrammetry: A Contribution to the Study of the Chachapoya.	613
<i>Giovanni Righetti, Stefano Serafini, Fabian Brondi Rueda, Warren B. Church, and Gabriele Garnero</i>	

Estimation of Hourly Salinity Concentrations Using an Artificial Neural Network	629
<i>Vladimir J. Alarcon, Anna C. Linhoss, Christopher R. Kelble, Paul F. Mickle, Joseph Bishop, and Emily Milton</i>	
Tracing and Modeling of the COVID-19 Pandemic Infections in Poland Using Spatial Interactions Models	641
<i>Piotr A. Werner</i>	
On Sustainability of Urban Italian Mobility	658
<i>Gabriella Schoier, Giuseppe Borruso, and Beatrice Dedemo</i>	
A Remote Sensing and Geo-Statistical Approaches to Mapping Burn Areas in Apulia Region (Southern Italy)	670
<i>Valentina Santarsiero, Gabriele Nolè, Antonio Lanorte, Biagio Tucci, Francesco Vito Ronco, Vito Augusto Capurso, and Beniamino Murgante</i>	
Soil Erosion and Land Degradation in Rural Environment: A Preliminary GIS and Remote-Sensed Approach	682
<i>Giuseppe Cillis, Gabriele Nolè, Antonio Lanorte, Valentina Santarsiero, Biagio Tucci, Francesco Scorza, and Beniamino Murgante</i>	
A Remote Sensing Methodology to Assess the Abandoned Arable Land Using NDVI Index in Basilicata Region.	695
<i>Valentina Santarsiero, Gabriele Nolè, Antonio Lanorte, Biagio Tucci, Giuseppe Cillis, Francesco Scorza, and Beniamino Murgante</i>	
Assessment and Monitoring of Soil Erosion Risk and Land Degradation in Arable Land Combining Remote Sensing Methodologies and RUSLE Factors.	704
<i>Biagio Tucci, Gabriele Nolè, Antonio Lanorte, Valentina Santarsiero, Giuseppe Cillis, Francesco Scorza, and Beniamino Murgante</i>	
Author Index	717



The Potential of Geodesign for the Optimization of Land Use in the Perspective of Sustainability: Case Study of the Metropolitan Region of Campinas

Andréia Medinilha Pancher¹ , Ana Isabel de Sá² , Marcelo Costa¹ ,
and Tiago Oyan Aguiar¹ 

¹ Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, Paulista State University (Unesp), Avenida 24A, Rio Claro 1515, Brazil

{am.pancher, marcelo.costa1998, tiago.oyan}@unesp.br

² Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Minas Gerais (IFMG), Rua Érico Veríssimo, Santa Luzia/MG, Santa LuziaBairro Londrina 317, Brazil

ana.sa@ifmg.edu.br

Abstract. The main objective of this article is to present and discuss the experience of a Geodesign workshop aimed at the Metropolitan Region of Campinas, SP. It was intended to debate the potential of the Geodesign method to reflect on the characteristics of the territory and propose alternatives for the proper use and occupation of land in the region from the perspective of sustainability. The workshop offered support for the participants to co-create alternatives for the sustainable planning of the territory and to develop the potential of the area. Its main characteristic was the elaboration of proposals based on the sustainability triad: Environmental, Economic and Social. The results showed that the methodology favors the preparation of proposals for adequate land use, allowing for an evolutionary process of co-creation of ideas, as the activities were developed in an evolutionary way, that is, the proposals were created for the 2035 and 2050 scenarios, no innovations, few innovations and many innovations. After the preparation and presentation of the proposals, the groups analyzed them for the 2050 scenario, showing that this step is essential for the critical analysis of the ideas, allowing to verify which systems were fully contemplated, which were moderately covered and which were not adequately covered. Thus, it is suggested that the evaluation stage precedes the adequacy and finalization of the proposals.

Keywords: Geodesign · Metropolitan regions · Territorial planning · Co-creation

1 Introduction

In Brazil, the urbanization process intensified from the 1960s onwards, generating a rural exodus, that is, the population leaving the countryside for urban areas. Most of the population started to live in cities, generating its rapid growth. Based on data from

the National Household Sample Survey (PNAD) [1], 84.72% of the Brazilian population lives in urban areas, and 15.28% in rural areas. This intense urbanization process triggered the phenomenon of metropolization, that is, cities grew beyond their limits, forming large metropolitan centers. The country comprises 74 metropolitan regions, which constitute complex territories, demanding effective planning methodologies.

This article presents the experience of the Geodesign workshop aimed at the collective development of proposals for the Metropolitan Region of Campinas (São Paulo, Brazil), in March 2021, with students from the “Urban Environmental Quality Analysis: support of geotechnologies for integration of thematic data”, given by Professor Andréia Medinilha Pancher at UNESP. Two students from the postgraduate program in Geosciences at UNICAMP also participated.

This experiment was part of a group of workshops in 12 of the 74 metropolitan regions of the country, coordinated by professor Ana Clara Moura, from UFMG, all using the geodesign method and the Brazilian geodesign platform GISCoLab, created with the support of CNPq (project 401066/2016–9 and FAPEMIG PPM-00368–18) [2]. Each Metropolitan Region had its local coordination – in this case, Professor Andréia Pancher, from UNESP. In each case, certain aspects and approaches related to specific territories and stakeholder groups were emphasized. In the case of Campinas, it was decided to use the tripod of sustainability as a base, guiding the practice based on the potential contributions of the proposals to social, environmental and economic issues.

Geodesign was adopted as a methodology, an alternative for the collective solution of conflicts that settle in the territory. According to Steinitz [3], this method is based on and covers a set of questions and methods necessary to solve complex design problems, in varied geographical scales, ranging from a neighborhood to a city, a landscape or a watershed.

Geodesign is adequate for the exercise on screen, since it is based on the knowledge of the characteristics of a territory for the elaboration of proposals compatible with not only economic, but also social and environmental development. In this way, the method makes it possible to conduct collaborative practices that favor the broad participation of actors involved in the territory, including from researchers, planners, to the community that lives in the areas of interest.

2 Methodology

The workshop presented in this paper adopted geodesign as a method, a concept created by a group of researchers from the Harvard Computer Graphics Lab, from the beginning of the 21st century [4].

It starts from the principle of associating GIS systems with spatial analysis techniques, based on the production of visualizations, simulations and models of physical-territorial reality. In other words, the geographic dimension, geo, is allied to the purposeful nature of design practices [5]. In general, cartographic bases with different information about the territory to receive interventions are used, organized in superimposed layers, as a background for the collective exercise of deliberation on territorial transformations – co-design or co-creation.

In order to explain geodesign, Goodchild [5] emphasizes the distinction between Design with a capital “D” and a lower “d”. The design with a lowercase “d” would be a

simplistic or even naive approach, anchored in the search for an “optimal solution” based on the combination of the initial objectives with the existing variables and restrictions. It would almost be an automation of the decision-making process based exclusively on technical parameters, enabling one to always arrive at a single result.

Design with a capital “D”, on the other hand, would be the set of practices that take into account the conflicts between the actors involved in decision making, their biases, and the dialogue necessary to achieve a result - which may not always be the more appropriate based on technical parameters, but that reflects the possible consensus within a given group and its interests. In this sense, the GIS technological framework is used to support and improve the negotiation processes and the political nature inherent to territorial planning, and not to eliminate them, as is the objective of proposals such as SDSS (Spatial Decision Support Systems). For this, it is necessary to repeatedly return to the beginning of each stage of representation and design (feedback loops, or iterations), enabling the verification of the proposed decisions [5].

Based on these assumptions, Steinitz [3] proposes a framework for geodesign: a methodological framework in which six questions must be asked (explicitly or implicitly) by the working group, at least three times, constituting iterations that feedback and generate different models of the territory until the negotiation of a final proposal (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Geodesign framework proposed by Steinitz [3].

Throughout this process, six models are generated – representation, process, evaluation, change, impact and decision – through which the process must move in search of a final collective agreement. In the following topics, it will be presented how these models were approached in the workshop in question, as well as the necessary adaptations to adapt the methodological framework to the analyzed context. The summary of the methodological steps of this work is shown in Fig. 2.

The main tool used to support the process was the GISCoLab platform [2]. GISCoLab was developed in partnership with GEOPROEA researchers, between 2015 and 2018, based on a series of case studies on Geodesign and co-creation of ideas for the territory. The analysis of the results of these experiences led the group to propose the development of a Brazilian platform, seeking to circumvent identified critical aspects, such as the risk




Fig. 2. Main steps in methodological framework. Source: The authors.

of inducing consensus or the low openness to participation in the initial stages of the processes.

Next, the characterization of the study area and the construction of working models for the MRC workshop will be addressed.

2.1 Area Characterization

The area of analysis was the metropolitan region of Campinas (MRC), created in 2000 through Complementary Law n° 870, of 19/06/2000. According to an estimate by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) [6], the MRC is formed by 20 municipalities, housing 3,304,338 inhabitants, with 2,725,293 people living in urban areas and only 71,844 in rural areas. The total land area is 3,644.9km², therefore, the demographic density is 767.40 inhab./km². (Table 1).

According to the data in Table 1, it is evident that the MRC is densely occupied, especially the urban area. It is a dynamic region, both economically and technologically, encompassing important research and teaching centers. The intense development of this

Table 1. Municipalities in the Metropolitan Region of Campinas/SP. Source: IBGE [6, 7].

Municipalities	Population (2010)	Estimated Pop. (2020)	Area (km ²)	Dem. Density (inhab./km ²)
Americana	210.638	242.018	133,91	1.807,29
Artur Nogueira	44.177	55.340	178,03	310,85
Campinas	1.080.113	1.213.792	794,57	1.527,61
Cosmópolis	58.827	73.474	154,66	475,05
Eng. Coelho	15.721	21.249	109,94	193,28
Holambra	11.299	15.272	65,58	232,89
Hortolândia	192.692	234.259	62,42	3.752,95
Indaiatuba	201.619	256.223	311,545	822,43
Itatiba	101.471	122.581	322,28	380,36
Jaguariúna	44.311	58.722	141,39	415,32
Monte Mor	48.949	60.754	240,57	252,54
Morungaba	11.769	13.781	146,75	93,91
Nova Odessa	51.242	60.956	73,79	826,07
Paulínia	82.146	112.003	138,78	807,05
Pedreira	41.558	48.463	108,82	445,35
Sta Bárbara D'Oeste	180.009	194.390	271,030	717,23
Sto Antonio de Posse	20.650	23.529	154,133	152,66
Sumaré	241.311	286.211	153,46	1.865,05
Valinhos	106.793	131.210	148,54	883,33
Vinhedo	63.611	80.111	81,60	981,75
Total	2.808.906	3.304.338	3.792	871,40

region caused the rapid occupation of the territory, without adequate planning. As a result, serious damage to natural resources was unleashed in this territory, demanding more effective land use and occupation strategies. The study area can be better understood in Fig. 3.

2.2 Preparatory Stage of the Workshop

To carry out a particular spatial analysis, with a view to proposing changes for the proper use and occupation of land, it is necessary to know the characteristics of the territory considered. Therefore, it is necessary to establish the analysis of thematic data of the study area, which was selected based on the most relevant themes to meet the expectations of the discussion.




Fig. 3. Study area - metropolitan region of campinas (MRC). Source: The authors.

Thus, in the first preparatory stage, the academic group from the Federal University of Minas Gerais developed the Representation Models, comprising 43 layers of cartographic and thematic data of the main characteristics of the study area, obtained from various official databases. For this stage, 15 days of work were required in the geoprocessing laboratory LABGEO/UFGM. Then, the information layers were inserted into the GISCoLab platform. This platform was created by Christian Resende Freitas and Ana Clara Mourão Moura (UFGM); it is characterized by being dynamic and interactive, allowing the stages of the workshop to be organized in contexts.

In the second stage, the data layers were transformed into Process Models, allowing to analyze the spatial distribution of occurrences and phenomena, as well as the influence of each variable on the RMC, showing the functioning of the study area. Using geoprocessing methods, hypsometry and slope models were generated; of land use and land cover, through the digital classification of orbital images; of the vegetation quality index, by calculating the NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index); water supply, sanitary sewage, health and school service buffers, accessibility and capillarity of the transport network, concentration of commerce and services, in addition to the percentage of distribution of young people, average income estimate, population density, relative information to agriculture, energy (insolation, surface temperature), tourism and leisure, and carbon credit (core area metrics, by form factor and connectivity). These layers of geographic information served as the basis for the group's work.

3 Development and Analysis

After the previous elaboration of the cartographic and thematic data, the representation, process and impact models were organized, as well as the workshop, which are detailed in the following items.

3.1 Representation Models

The natural and anthropogenic physical characteristics of the metropolitan region of Campinas served as the basis for the establishment of 10 systems: Water, Agriculture, Green Infrastructure, Energy Infrastructure, Transport Infrastructure, Trade/Industry, Institutions, Housing, Carbon Credit, in addition to a system of free choice, which in the case of this study was selected as the Tourism/Culture/Leisure System. The objectives of the systems were:

- a) Green Infrastructure - where to propose the conservation areas, implementation or expansion of vegetation, in order to minimize the effects of heat in densely built areas, restore the Permanent Preservation Areas, improve the conditions of protected areas, minimize the events of flooding and flooding and erosive processes, from the analysis of the existing vegetation distribution in the form of biomes, conservation units, vegetation quality index.
- b) Hydrography – which springs and water courses require proposals for the recovery of the green, for the protection of water sources and ecological potential.
- c) Housing - selection of the most suitable areas for the implementation of new housing, considering the most appropriate characteristics of the relief (hypsometry and slope), taking into account the urban expansion area, as well as the legislation regarding APPs and conservation units.
- d) Transport – which sectors require improvements or expansion of road, rail and urban transport routes, considering accessibility and capillarity.
- e) Institutions – in which areas there is a demand for health services, schools, taking into account the characteristics of the population (% of children, young people and the elderly) and the areas of influence of these services.
- f) Trade/Industry – which areas can be expanded and which are suitable for expanding commerce and industry, based on information on average income and population density.
- g) Agriculture – which areas are suitable for agricultural activity or for expanding existing ones, based on information on land use and occupation, altimetry, soils, insolation, road infrastructure.
- h) Energy – which sectors are potential for the installation of new energy generators, considering the production of sustainable energy, such as solar (analyzing the conditions of insolation and surface temperature).
- i) Carbon Credit – propose the conservation, expansion or creation of vegetation, with a view to increasing the carbon credit, considering the surface temperature, the characteristics of the existing vegetation (core area, shape, connectivity,).
- j) Tourism/Culture and Leisure – identify favorable areas, using favorable aspects, such as archaeological sites, caves, museums, technology centers, theaters, cinemas, for exploration or increased visitation activities.

Below, some images of the representation model are shown, covering the Environmental axis: Land Use and Coverage and Conservation Units; Social: Practiced Urban Patch and Health and Education Equipment; and, Economic: Transport (Highways, Railways and Urban Roads) and Income, which deal with some characteristics of the MRC.

The elaboration of the models was based on satellite images (Sentinel 2A, from the Copernicus Program), through classification according to Land Use; as well as data provided by the public administration (urban stain, health and education equipment, income, administrative limits and Conservation Units for sustainable use and permanent protection) (Fig. 4).




Fig. 4. Some thematic cartographic data produced, considering the sustainability triad. Source: LABGEO/UFGM.

3.2 Processes Models

The process models allow us to understand the functioning of the area, through the classification of the data elaborated, based on the interest of each System. In this model, there is a combination of data and the production of new information by the participants, presenting an analytical dimension.

Thus, the collection of thematic data from the RMC was distributed among the 10 systems. For each System, thematic maps were processed based on specific sustainability demands. From this collection, the maps referring to the Carbon Credit System stand out, involving the calculation of the surface temperature (from the mildest to the highest); the estimate of the most expressive vegetation; core area metrics, ranging from bad (no core area) to good (best core area dimension); metrics by form factor (perimeter/area), from bad (greater complexity) to good (less complexity); connectivity metrics (low to high); plus a summary of metrics (from bad to good). The processing of these data allowed the generation of representative maps of areas with conserved vegetation, areas that deserve attention in terms of restoration actions, and areas lacking green (Fig. 5).

3.3 Impact Models

The evaluation of the impact of the proposals was carried out predominantly in a qualitative way and consisted of a judgment. In the case of this study, this step was carried out in




Fig. 5. Carbon Credit Maps: surface temperature and metrics - vegetation synthesis (core, shape and connectivity). Source: LABGEO/UFGM.

the last meeting (5th), based on a conflict matrix containing the 10 systems, which were evaluated considering the 17 objectives of the Objective of development sustainability (ODS) (Fig. 6), attributing the notes “Most Benefit”, “Benefit”, “Neutral”, “Detriment” and “Most Detriment”, each one related to a color in a hierarchical order (Fig. 7).

Sustainable Development Goals	EARLY ADOPTER - MUITAS INOVAÇÕES - 2050											SOMA
	AGUA	AGRIC	VEGET	ENERG	TRANS	COMIN	INSTI	HABIT	TURC	CC02		
	WAT	AGR	GRN	ENE	TRAN	IND	INST	RESID	TUR	CC02	sum	
1. No Poverty	1	3	3	1	-1	1	3	1	0	3	4	
2. Zero Hunger	3	1	3	0	-1	-3	1	3	0	3	-3	
3. Good Health and Well-being	3	3	3	-3	3	1	3	3	3	3	4	
4. Quality Education	1	3	1	-3	-1	0	3	3	1	1	-1	
5. Gender Equality	0	1	0	-3	-3	-3	1	-1	0	0	-8	
6. Clean Water and Sanitation	3	-1	1	3	-3	-3	0	3	0	1	-4	
7. Affordable and Clean Energy	1	1	1	3	3	3	0	3	1	1	10	
8. Decent Work and Economic Growth	3	3	3	1	1	3	3	3	1	3	8	
9. Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure	3	3	3	1	3	3	1	1	3	3	10	
10. Reduced Inequality	3	1	3	0	0	-1	3	0	0	3	0	
11. Sustainable Cities and Communities	3	3	3	1	3	3	0	3	3	3	10	
12. Responsible Consumption and Production	3	3	3	3	-1	0	0	1	0	3	5	
13. Climate Action	3	3	3	3	3	-1	0	0	1	3	8	
14. Life Below Water	3	-3	1	-3	-3	-3	0	0	0	1	-12	
15. Life on Land	3	-3	3	-3	-3	-3	1	1	3	3	-12	
16. Peace and Justice Strong Institutions	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
17. Partnerships to achieve the Goal	3	3	3	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	6	

Scale of Evaluation	
Most benefit	3 #7030A0
Benefit	1 #CC66FF
Neutral	0 #BFBFBF
Detriment	1 #FFFF00
Most Detriment	-3 #FF9933

Fig. 6. Matrix SDG - Sustainable Development Goals. Source: International Geodesign Collaboration (IGC). <https://www.igc-geodesign.org/project-workflow> (2021). Adapted by the workshop collaborators).

Through this matrix, after the preparation of the proposals, it is possible to qualify the extent to which the proposals reached the sustainability goals, rating them from -3 to 3, with -3 and -1 being the proposals that did not reach the goals, 1 are the proposals that achieved the objectives to some extent and 3 are the ones that best achieved the objectives.

Most Benefit	Benefit	Neutral	Detriment	Most Detriment
3	1	0	-1	-3

Fig. 7. Matrix SDG rating scale. Source: International Geodesign Collaboration (IGC). <https://www.igc-geodesign.org/project-workflow> (2021).

Thus, each axis of the sustainability triad analyzed and qualified the proposals of the other two groups, considering the systems linked to them. Thus, the Environmental axis analyzed the proposals of the Economic and Social axes, the Economic axis analyzed the ideas of the Environmental and Social axes and the Social axis evaluated the proposals of the Environmental and Economic axes. In addition, each group analyzed their own proposals.

3.4 The Workshop: Co-creation of Ideas

With the Representation, Process and Impact Models prepared, it was possible to start the workshop. The workshop was organized in 5 meetings of 4 h each, totaling 20 h of activities, within the scope of the Urban Environmental Quality Analysis discipline: integration of thematic data, from the graduate program at UNESP in Rio Claro. From a total of 12 participants, there were 10 PPGG students and 2 UNICAMP graduate students. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the event was held entirely through the Google Meet platform. Andréia Medinilha Pancher (UNESP) led the workshop, with the support of Ana Isabel de Sá (IFMG) and Ana Clara Mourão Moura (UFMG).

Participants were divided into 3 groups and were instructed to prepare and defend proposals based on the tripod of sustainability: Environmental, Economic and Social. Each group created a google meet room to work within their axes. Participants were instructed to draw policy and project diagrams for the 10 systems: Vegetation, Hydrography, Housing, Transport, Institutions, Trade/Industry, Agriculture, Energy, Tourism/Culture/Leisure and Carbon Credit, considering the vulnerabilities and potential of the area.

In the 1st meeting, all participants performed the reading enrichment, a stage that allowed knowledge of the 43 layers of data, organized in GISCoLab, as well as the overlapping of layers, for the integrated analysis of information. It is noteworthy that at this stage, it is possible to enter additional information through notes. In the case of the metropolitan region of Campinas, from the integrated analysis of thematic cartographic data, vulnerable areas were identified, considering the environmental, economic and social points of view. Thus, the weaknesses of the Permanent Preservation Areas, due to urban occupation, were discussed; the importance of preserving conservation units, to expand the vegetation area and, consequently, contribute to carbon credits. In addition, the issue of expanding the housing and transport supply (collective transport and the use of smarts) was discussed, adopting more sustainable models.

At the 2nd meeting, employees were separated into three groups: Environmental, Economic and Social, choosing which group they would like to participate in. However, they were also able to give their opinion on the other topics. Next, they were instructed to prepare the proposals without innovations, that is, without looking for new things,

projection in the traditional, taking into account the 2035 and 2050 scenarios. For the preparation of the proposals, project diagrams were drawn (which can be realized in the short term) and policies (intentions, which can be implemented in the long term) using GISCoLab's design resources. It is noteworthy that for almost all systems, dots and lines were used for the preparation of proposals. However, for the Carbon Credit System the ideas were designed using polygons. In the first part, the three groups, gathered in different virtual rooms, made proposals without innovations for the 2035 scenario. In the second part, the groups made proposals without innovations for the 2050 scenario. To allow the development of the 2050 scenario, some actions had to be proposed in the 2035 scenario, receiving adjustments and selecting the most interesting annotations.

At the 3rd meeting, the groups made proposals with innovations. In the first part of the meeting, all participants made proposals with innovations for the 2035 scenario, based on enriching reading. For all the workshops, a 30% increase in vegetation was defined as a goal, adding to the proposals of the 2035 and 2050 scenarios. For that, it was necessary to propose an increase in carbon credit through the conservation of areas with robust fragments and that they need maintenance and investment to maintain themselves properly; expansion in areas with fragments, but in need of improvement in their conditions; and, creation of green areas, where there is no vegetation, but which are able to be implemented. In the 2nd part of the meeting, all participants made proposals with innovations for the 2050 scenario, based on the 2035 proposals. The groups were able to use ideas from existing innovations on the International Geodesign Collaboration (IGC) event website (igc-geodesign.org/global-systems-research), as well as had the opportunity to suggest their own ideas, based on their research or professional experiences.

At the 4th meeting, participants created ideas with many innovations for the 2050 scenario, being able to continue the 2035 proposals. In addition, they were able to extract ideas from the IGC website for the 2050 scenario, adapting them to the Brazilian reality, in specific, the Metropolitan Region of Campinas (Fig. 8).




Fig. 8. Final scenario. Organization: the authors.

Once the diagram design step was completed, everyone voted on the proposals created for all systems, using the Like and Don't Like feature, available on the GISCoLab platform. In addition to voting, participants were able to add comments to each proposal, in order to clarify the reasons for voting against or even proposing adjustments or changes to the location of the approved proposals.

During the activities, analyzing the development from the 1st to the 4th meeting, an evolution in the preparation of proposals was evidenced, from 43 proposals without innovations to 47 proposals with many innovations, highlighting that many ideas built in the scenarios with innovations were taken advantage of, continued and improved.

The proposal analysis stage (5th meeting) proved to be fundamental, as it allowed everyone to know all the ideas developed by the three groups, as well as making a critical analysis of the weaknesses and potential of collective creation. Taking into account the scenario without innovations, with innovations and even with many innovations, the groups showed that the collective construction of ideas occurred in an evolutionary way, allowing for the expansion of proposals and better achieving sustainability goals.

In addition to the positive aspects identified by the groups, the weaknesses of some themes were also highlighted. With regard to gender equality, the social group noted that no proposals were made to address the vulnerability of specific social groups. Also, ideas were developed for the modernization of housing, in order to alleviate physical and natural problems, such as thermal comfort, issues related to slope, etc., however, there was a lack of proposals for the construction of new housing for the part of the population that is not assisted.

The Economic group also made a critical analysis of the proposals. Regarding the goals for reducing poverty, hunger, gender equality, that is, social aspects, the group also identified weaknesses. For example, innovations in the means of transport (vehicles powered by solar or electric energy) were proposed, but the issue of harassment that occurs in public transport towards women was not considered. As a solution, they could propose the separation of public transport, serving only women. It should be noted that social proposals like these would require a broad debate in society. For the scenario without innovations, it was evident that the adoption of traditional, non-innovative proposals resulted in ideas that were not linked to the three axes: Environmental, Economic and Social, that is, without establishing inter-relations. In this sense, the economic group gave great importance to agricultural issues, such as the proposal for urban gardens, but the impact that such actions may have on animals living in areas where gardens will be implemented were not considered.

In the analysis of the proposals by the Environmental group, based on the scenario with innovations, positive effects on health, well-being and gains in the issues of poverty and hunger were highlighted, through actions related to the implementation or improvement of infrastructure (sanitation, for example), climate, reflecting in improvements in water quality, in the expansion of carbon credits, among others.

Regarding the proposals for the vegetation system, the environmental group highlighted the recovery of riparian forests along the drainage network and, mainly, around the springs; suggested the interconnection between conservation areas, through the establishment of flora and fauna corridors. Also, urban afforestation on sidewalks, as well

as the implementation of Environmental Protection Areas and Areas of Relevant Ecological Interest (ARIE), which can be some massive forests existing in cities or even isolated trees. In addition, green roofs were proposed, the transformation of urban voids into urban gardens. These proposals directly reflect on the volume and quality of water and on the Carbon Credit. For the water system, the group proposed the reduction of charges for its use for those who implement the collection and use of rainwater and for industries that develop technologies for the reduction and rational use of water. In urban areas, integration of vegetation with buildings and water retention in public, residential and commercial buildings. Also, the elimination of areas of diffuse contamination, which contaminate underground water tables, in addition to greater control of polluting sources. In rural areas, the implementation of drip and digital irrigation.

The economic group presented proposals for the use of photovoltaic panels in 50% of homes in the MRC; expansion of the use of solar energy on highways, providing the neediest population living in the surrounding area with energy generated at a reduced cost. In addition, the generation of energy from natural waterfalls in rivers, without the construction of reservoirs; this type of power generation involves low investment. Also, implementation of Power to Gas plants (electrolysis and hydrogen process) throughout the region. In addition to these ways of generating energy being sustainable, it would allow the metropolitan region of Campinas to be self-sufficient in the production of electricity. Another proposal of the group was related to family and organic agriculture, which includes ecological pest control, with support from the government. Thus, green roofs were suggested for the implementation of urban and community gardens. Another proposal was the creation of a family farming program, with credit lines.

With regard to industry and commerce, encouragement of innovation for all industries in the MRC and implementation of intelligent infrastructure in the streets of central businesses, integrating tenants and customers through a quality virtual connection. Include small traders in the Magnetic Levitation Transport (MAGLEV) system, paying lower rents and allocating stations. For transport, the group proposed expanding MAGLEV and creating new stations to serve more municipalities in the MRC.

The Social group proposed the implementation of smart windows in all constructions. In the health area, the idea was to implement virtual care, through the direct connection of the patient with doctors and databases and remote examinations (reduction in waiting time, travel and transport costs). In relation to the transport system, the idea was to increase tax incentives to electrify 100% of the public and private vehicle fleet, as well as for mass transport and the consolidation of urban cycle paths. Also, the use of the solar highway.

For tourism, the consolidation of Glampings in natural areas with replicable structures inspired by nature, brings the idea of natural design, encouraging environmental tourism and conservation of the area. In addition, the creation of self-sufficient stadiums in water collection and treatment, solar energy production, and sewage treatment, with multiple entertainment functions. For rectified and channeled urban rivers or streams, remove the concrete or pavement that are covering the waterways, restoring them to their original condition, allowing the creation of parks close to these areas and the expansion

of systems leisure activities for the population. For the water supply network, insert sensors in all public and private buildings and in the water transport network, connecting them to an online central.

For the housing system, it was proposed to replace concrete with materials that contribute to lowering the temperatures of cities. Another idea was the implementation of green streets in the urban perimeter with the objective of increasing the vegetation, constituting a necessary measure to meet the carbon credit and improve the quality of life of the population of the MRC, which lacks urban green. For transport, it was suggested the expansion of tax incentives to electrify 100% of the public and private vehicle fleet, encourage mass transport and consolidation of urban cycle paths.

Given the above, the evaluation process served to analyze the proposals together, reflecting on the possibilities of adaptations, adjustments and adjustments, allowing for the improvement of ideas, making them more effective and coherent with the reality studied.

4 Conclusions and Discussions

The results obtained at the workshop demonstrated the potential of the Geodesign methodology for territorial assessment and planning, allowing the analysis of a large volume of thematic data from the MRC, in an interrelated way, enabling the elaboration of proposals to mitigate vulnerabilities and take advantage of potentialities of the area.

It is a methodology that favors and encourages teamwork, allowing for the enrichment, complementarity and integration of proposals. Through the dialogues established in the meetings, all participants had moments of speech, enriching the elaboration of proposals with different academic and professional experiences, favoring critical analysis.

In addition, during the meetings there was an evolution of the proposals, allowing for surpassing the established target of 30% of carbon credit. For the 2050 scenario with innovations, there was an increase of 96.54% of CCO₂, which can be explained by the low vegetation index in the MRC, which led the group to create more proposals for this System.

The evaluation stage of the proposals proved to be fundamental, as it served to think about possibilities for adaptations/adaptations, allowing for the improvement of ideas, making them more effective in alleviating the problems of vulnerabilities and making better use of the potential of the analyzed area. Thus, it is suggested that this evaluation step be carried out before the closing of the proposals.

The groups made proposals within their axes, but many have an interface with the other axes as well, evidencing the existing integration to achieve sustainability. It should be noted that several innovative proposals for the 2050 scenario can only be implemented if they are started in the 2035 scenario, as they require time to develop, mature and consolidate, as is the case with the implementation of vegetation.

The Geodesign methodology offers the opportunity for everyone involved to present their ideas and to listen to the proposals of others, favoring teamwork, generating an enrichment of ideas, expanding the possibilities for actions, enhancing the adequate planning of the territory.

An important aspect highlighted by the environmental group is that this work dynamic, of co-creation of ideas, allows the integration of knowledge between professionals from different areas, resulting in collective proposals, therefore broader and more complete, taking advantage of the experiences of all involved.

Furthermore, it is important to point out that Geodesign allowed an evaluation of territorial data and the elaboration of proposals in a democratic way. The GISCoLab platform is a very important contribution tool for collaborators to enter information in order to create a critical/analytical scenario in relation to the data that were initially fed by the organizers.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank CNPq support through the project 401066/2016-9, FAPEMIG PPM-00368-18 and LABGEO/UFMG, for the creation of the GISCoLab and for preparing the databases. Also thank the Graduate Programs in Geography at UNESP and UNICAMP, as well as the organization of the event.

References

1. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística – IBGE. Conheça o Brasil – População: População Rural e Urbana. Disponível em: <https://educa.ibge.gov.br/jovens/conheca-o-brasil/populacao/18313-populacao-rural-e-urbana.html>. Acesso em: 26 abr 2021
2. Freitas, C.R.: Tecnologias de Geoinformação no Planejamento Territorial: novas formas de produção, compartilhamento e uso de dados espaciais 2020. Tese – Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Arquitetura e Urbanismo Belo Horizonte (2020)
3. Steinitz, C.: A Framework for Geodesign: Changing Geography by Design. ESRI Press, Redlands (2012)
4. Batty, M.: Planning support systems and the new logic of computation. *Regional Dev. Dialogue*. [s.l.], pp. 1–17 (1995)
5. Goodchild, M.F.: Towards geodesign: repurposing cartography and GIS? *Cartogr. Perspect.* **66**, 7–22 (2010)
6. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística – IBGE. Disponível em: <https://cidades.ibge.gov.br/brasil/sp>. Acesso em: 14 mai. 2021.
7. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística – IBGE: Estimativas da população.. Disponível em: <https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/sociais/populacao/9103-estimativas-de-populacao.html?=&t=downloads>. Acesso em: 14 mai. 2021