Osvaldo Gervasi · Beniamino Murgante ·
Sanjay Misra · Chiara Garau · Ivan Blečić ·
David Taniar · Bernady O. Apduhan ·
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha · Eufemia Tarantino ·
Carmelo Maria Torre (Eds.)

LNCS 12954

Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2021

**21st International Conference
Cagliari, Italy, September 13–16, 2021
Proceedings, Part VI**

6 Part VI

ICCSA 2021

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE AND ITS APPLICATIONS

Springer

Lecture Notes in Computer Science 12954

More information about this subseries at http://www.springer.com/series/7407

Osvaldo Gervasi · Beniamino Murgante ·
Sanjay Misra · Chiara Garau ·
Ivan Blečić · David Taniar ·
Bernady O. Apduhan · Ana Maria A. C. Rocha ·
Eufemia Tarantino · Carmelo Maria Torre (Eds.)

Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2021

21st International Conference
Cagliari, Italy, September 13–16, 2021
Proceedings, Part VI

☰ Springer

Editors

Osvaldo Gervasi 🆔
University of Perugia
Perugia, Italy

Beniamino Murgante 🆔
University of Basilicata
Potenza, Potenza, Italy

Sanjay Misra 🆔
Covenant University
Ota, Nigeria

Chiara Garau 🆔
University of Cagliari
Cagliari, Italy

Ivan Blečić 🆔
University of Cagliari
Cagliari, Italy

David Taniar 🆔
Monash University
Clayton, VIC, Australia

Bernady O. Apduhan
Kyushu Sangyo University
Fukuoka, Japan

Ana Maria A. C. Rocha 🆔
University of Minho
Braga, Portugal

Eufemia Tarantino 🆔
Polytechnic University of Bari
Bari, Italy

Carmelo Maria Torre 🆔
Polytechnic University of Bari
Bari, Italy

Preface

These 10 volumes (LNCS volumes 12949–12958) consist of the peer-reviewed papers from the 21st International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications (ICCSA 2021) which took place during September 13–16, 2021. By virtue of the vaccination campaign conducted in various countries around the world, we decided to try a hybrid conference, with some of the delegates attending in person at the University of Cagliari and others attending in virtual mode, reproducing the infrastructure established last year.

This year's edition was a successful continuation of the ICCSA conference series, which was also held as a virtual event in 2020, and previously held in Saint Petersburg, Russia (2019), Melbourne, Australia (2018), Trieste, Italy (2017), Beijing. China (2016), Banff, Canada (2015), Guimaraes, Portugal (2014), Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (2013), Salvador, Brazil (2012), Santander, Spain (2011), Fukuoka, Japan (2010), Suwon, South Korea (2009), Perugia, Italy (2008), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (2007), Glasgow, UK (2006), Singapore (2005), Assisi, Italy (2004), Montreal, Canada (2003), and (as ICCS) Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2002) and San Francisco, USA (2001).

Computational science is the main pillar of most of the present research on understanding and solving complex problems. It plays a unique role in exploiting innovative ICT technologies and in the development of industrial and commercial applications. The ICCSA conference series provides a venue for researchers and industry practitioners to discuss new ideas, to share complex problems and their solutions, and to shape new trends in computational science.

Apart from the six main conference tracks, ICCSA 2021 also included 52 workshops in various areas of computational sciences, ranging from computational science technologies to specific areas of computational sciences, such as software engineering, security, machine learning and artificial intelligence, blockchain technologies, and applications in many fields. In total, we accepted 494 papers, giving an acceptance rate of 30%, of which 18 papers were short papers and 6 were published open access. We would like to express our appreciation for the workshop chairs and co-chairs for their hard work and dedication.

The success of the ICCSA conference series in general, and of ICCSA 2021 in particular, vitally depends on the support of many people: authors, presenters, participants, keynote speakers, workshop chairs, session chairs, organizing committee members, student volunteers, Program Committee members, advisory committee members, international liaison chairs, reviewers, and others in various roles. We take this opportunity to wholehartedly thank them all.

We also wish to thank Springer for publishing the proceedings, for sponsoring some of the best paper awards, and for their kind assistance and cooperation during the editing process.

We cordially invite you to visit the ICCSA website https://iccsa.org where you can find all the relevant information about this interesting and exciting event.

September 2021   Osvaldo Gervasi
Beniamino Murgante
Sanjay Misra

Welcome Message from the Organizers

COVID-19 has continued to alter our plans for organizing the ICCSA 2021 conference, so although vaccination plans are progressing worldwide, the spread of virus variants still forces us into a period of profound uncertainty. Only a very limited number of participants were able to enjoy the beauty of Sardinia and Cagliari in particular, rediscovering the immense pleasure of meeting again, albeit safely spaced out. The social events, in which we rediscovered the ancient values that abound on this wonderful island and in this city, gave us even more strength and hope for the future. For the management of the virtual part of the conference, we consolidated the methods, organization, and infrastructure of ICCSA 2020.

The technological infrastructure was based on open source software, with the addition of the streaming channels on YouTube. In particular, we used Jitsi (jitsi.org) for videoconferencing, Riot (riot.im) together with Matrix (matrix.org) for chat and ansynchronous communication, and Jibri (github.com/jitsi/jibri) for streaming live sessions to YouTube.

Seven Jitsi servers were set up, one for each parallel session. The participants of the sessions were helped and assisted by eight student volunteers (from the universities of Cagliari, Florence, Perugia, and Bari), who provided technical support and ensured smooth running of the conference proceedings.

The implementation of the software infrastructure and the technical coordination of the volunteers were carried out by Damiano Perri and Marco Simonetti.

Our warmest thanks go to all the student volunteers, to the technical coordinators, and to the development communities of Jitsi, Jibri, Riot, and Matrix, who made their terrific platforms available as open source software.

A big thank you goes to all of the 450 speakers, many of whom showed an enormous collaborative spirit, sometimes participating and presenting at almost prohibitive times of the day, given that the participants of this year's conference came from 58 countries scattered over many time zones of the globe.

Finally, we would like to thank Google for letting us stream all the live events via YouTube. In addition to lightening the load of our Jitsi servers, this allowed us to record the event and to be able to review the most exciting moments of the conference.

<div align="right">

Ivan Blečić
Chiara Garau

</div>

Organization

ICCSA 2021 was organized by the University of Cagliari (Italy), the University of Perugia (Italy), the University of Basilicata (Italy), Monash University (Australia), Kyushu Sangyo University (Japan), and the University of Minho (Portugal).

Honorary General Chairs

Norio Shiratori	Chuo University, Japan
Kenneth C. J. Tan	Sardina Systems, UK
Corrado Zoppi	University of Cagliari, Italy

General Chairs

Osvaldo Gervasi	University of Perugia, Italy
Ivan Blečić	University of Cagliari, Italy
David Taniar	Monash University, Australia

Program Committee Chairs

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Bernady O. Apduhan	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal

International Advisory Committee

Jemal Abawajy	Deakin University, Australia
Dharma P. Agarwal	University of Cincinnati, USA
Rajkumar Buyya	University of Melbourne, Australia
Claudia Bauzer Medeiros	University of Campinas, Brazil
Manfred M. Fisher	Vienna University of Economics and Business, Austria
Marina L. Gavrilova	University of Calgary, Canada
Yee Leung	Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

International Liaison Chairs

Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Elise De Donker	Western Michigan University, USA
Maria Irene Falcão	University of Minho, Portugal
Robert C. H. Hsu	Chung Hua University, Taiwan
Tai-Hoon Kim	Beijing Jaotong University, China

Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
Takashi Naka	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Rafael D. C. Santos	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
Maribel Yasmina Santos	University of Minho, Portugal
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia

Workshop and Session Chairs

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
Jorge Gustavo Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal

Awards Chair

| Wenny Rahayu | La Trobe University, Australia |

Publicity Committee Chairs

Elmer Dadios	De La Salle University, Philippines
Nataliia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Daisuke Takahashi	Tsukuba University, Japan
Shangwang Wang	Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China

Technology Chairs

| Damiano Perri | University of Florence, Italy |
| Marco Simonetti | University of Florence, Italy |

Local Arrangement Chairs

Ivan Blečić	University of Cagliari, Italy
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Alfonso Annunziata	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Alessandro Buccini	University of Cagliari, Italy
Michele Campagna	University of Cagliari, Italy
Mauro Coni	University of Cagliari, Italy
Anna Maria Colavitti	University of Cagliari, Italy
Giulia Desogus	University of Cagliari, Italy
Caterina Fenu	University of Cagliari, Italy
Sabrina Lai	University of Cagliari, Italy
Francesca Maltinti	University of Cagliari, Italy
Pasquale Mistretta	University of Cagliari, Italy

Augusto Montisci	University of Cagliari, Italy
Francesco Pinna	University of Cagliari, Italy
Davide Spano	University of Cagliari, Italy
Giuseppe A. Trunfio	University of Sassari, Italy
Corrado Zoppi	University of Cagliari, Italy

Program Committee

Vera Afreixo	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Filipe Alvelos	University of Minho, Portugal
Hartmut Asche	University of Potsdam, Germany
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Michela Bertolotto	University College Dublin, Ireland
Sandro Bimonte	INRAE-TSCF, France
Rod Blais	University of Calgary, Canada
Ivan Blečić	University of Sassari, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Ana Cristina Braga	University of Minho, Portugal
Massimo Cafaro	University of Salento, Italy
Yves Caniou	University of Lyon, France
José A. Cardoso e Cunha	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Rui Cardoso	University of Beira Interior, Portugal
Leocadio G. Casado	University of Almeria, Spain
Carlo Cattani	University of Salerno, Italy
Mete Celik	Erciyes University, Turkey
Maria Cerreta	University of Naples "Federico II", Italy
Hyunseung Choo	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Chien-Sing Lee	Sunway University, Malaysia
Min Young Chung	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Florbela Maria da Cruz Domingues Correia	Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Gilberto Corso Pereira	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil
Fernanda Costa	University of Minho, Portugal
Alessandro Costantini	INFN, Italy
Carla Dal Sasso Freitas	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Pradesh Debba	The Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), South Africa
Hendrik Decker	Instituto Tecnolčgico de Informática, Spain
Robertas Damaševičius	Kausan University of Technology, Lithuania
Frank Devai	London South Bank University, UK
Rodolphe Devillers	Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
Joana Matos Dias	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Paolino Di Felice	University of L'Aquila, Italy
Prabu Dorairaj	NetApp, India/USA
Noelia Faginas Lago	University of Perugia, Italy
M. Irene Falcao	University of Minho, Portugal

Cherry Liu Fang	Ames Laboratory, USA
Florbela P. Fernandes	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Jose-Jesus Fernandez	National Centre for Biotechnology, Spain
Paula Odete Fernandes	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Adelaide de Fátima Baptista Valente Freitas	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Manuel Carlos Figueiredo	University of Minho, Portugal
Maria Celia Furtado Rocha	Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brazil
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Paulino Jose Garcia Nieto	University of Oviedo, Spain
Jerome Gensel	LSR-IMAG, France
Maria Giaoutzi	National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Arminda Manuela Andrade Pereira Gonçalves	University of Minho, Portugal
Andrzej M. Goscinski	Deakin University, Australia
Eduardo Guerra	Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy
Sevin Gümgüm	Izmir University of Economics, Turkey
Alex Hagen-Zanker	University of Cambridge, UK
Shanmugasundaram Hariharan	B.S. Abdur Rahman University, India
Eligius M. T. Hendrix	University of Malaga, Spain/Wageningen University, The Netherlands
Hisamoto Hiyoshi	Gunma University, Japan
Mustafa Inceoglu	EGE University, Turkey
Peter Jimack	University of Leeds, UK
Qun Jin	Waseda University, Japan
Yeliz Karaca	University of Massachusetts Medical School, USA
Farid Karimipour	Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Baris Kazar	Oracle Corp., USA
Maulana Adhinugraha Kiki	Telkom University, Indonesia
DongSeong Kim	University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Taihoon Kim	Hannam University, South Korea
Ivana Kolingerova	University of West Bohemia, Czech Republic
Nataliia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Rosa Lasaponara	National Research Council, Italy
Maurizio Lazzari	National Research Council, Italy
Cheng Siong Lee	Monash University, Australia
Sangyoun Lee	Yonsei University, South Korea
Jongchan Lee	Kunsan National University, South Korea
Chendong Li	University of Connecticut, USA
Gang Li	Deakin University, Australia
Fang Liu	Ames Laboratory, USA
Xin Liu	University of Calgary, Canada
Andrea Lombardi	University of Perugia, Italy
Savino Longo	University of Bari, Italy

Tinghuai Ma	Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, China
Ernesto Marcheggiani	Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Antonino Marvuglia	Research Centre Henri Tudor, Luxembourg
Nicola Masini	National Research Council, Italy
Ilaria Matteucci	National Research Council, Italy
Eric Medvet	University of Trieste, Italy
Nirvana Meratnia	University of Twente, The Netherlands
Giuseppe Modica	University of Reggio Calabria, Italy
Josè Luis Montaña	University of Cantabria, Spain
Maria Filipa Mourão	Instituto Politécnico de Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Louiza de Macedo Mourelle	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Nadia Nedjah	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Laszlo Neumann	University of Girona, Spain
Kok-Leong Ong	Deakin University, Australia
Belen Palop	Universidad de Valladolid, Spain
Marcin Paprzycki	Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
Eric Pardede	La Trobe University, Australia
Kwangjin Park	Wonkwang University, South Korea
Ana Isabel Pereira	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Massimiliano Petri	University of Pisa, Italy
Telmo Pinto	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Maurizio Pollino	Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Italy
Alenka Poplin	University of Hamburg, Germany
Vidyasagar Potdar	Curtin University of Technology, Australia
David C. Prosperi	Florida Atlantic University, USA
Wenny Rahayu	La Trobe University, Australia
Jerzy Respondek	Silesian University of Technology Poland
Humberto Rocha	INESC-Coimbra, Portugal
Jon Rokne	University of Calgary, Canada
Octavio Roncero	CSIC, Spain
Maytham Safar	Kuwait University, Kuwait
Francesco Santini	University of Perugia, Italy
Chiara Saracino	A.O. Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda, Italy
Haiduke Sarafian	Pennsylvania State University, USA
Marco Paulo Seabra dos Reis	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Jie Shen	University of Michigan, USA
Qi Shi	Liverpool John Moores University, UK
Dale Shires	U.S. Army Research Laboratory, USA
Inês Soares	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Takuo Suganuma	Tohoku University, Japan
Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy

Ana Paula Teixeira	University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, Portugal
Senhorinha Teixeira	University of Minho, Portugal
M. Filomena Teodoro	Portuguese Naval Academy/University of Lisbon, Portugal
Parimala Thulasiraman	University of Manitoba, Canada
Carmelo Torre	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Javier Martinez Torres	Centro Universitario de la Defensa Zaragoza, Spain
Giuseppe A. Trunfio	University of Sassari, Italy
Pablo Vanegas	University of Cuenca, Equador
Marco Vizzari	University of Perugia, Italy
Varun Vohra	Merck Inc., USA
Koichi Wada	University of Tsukuba, Japan
Krzysztof Walkowiak	Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland
Zequn Wang	Intelligent Automation Inc, USA
Robert Weibel	University of Zurich, Switzerland
Frank Westad	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Roland Wismüller	Universität Siegen, Germany
Mudasser Wyne	National University, USA
Chung-Huang Yang	National Kaohsiung Normal University, Taiwan
Xin-She Yang	National Physical Laboratory, UK
Salim Zabir	National Institute of Technology, Tsuruoka, Japan
Haifeng Zhao	University of California, Davis, USA
Fabiana Zollo	University of Venice "Cà Foscari", Italy
Albert Y. Zomaya	University of Sydney, Australia

Workshop Organizers

Advanced Transport Tools and Methods (A2TM 2021)

| Massimiliano Petri | University of Pisa, Italy |
| Antonio Pratelli | University of Pisa, Italy |

Advances in Artificial Intelligence Learning Technologies: Blended Learning, STEM, Computational Thinking and Coding (AAILT 2021)

Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Giulio Biondi	University of Florence, Italy
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy

Workshop on Advancements in Applied Machine Learning and Data Analytics (AAMDA 2021)

Alessandro Costantini	INFN, Italy
Davide Salomoni	INFN, Italy
Doina Cristina Duma	INFN, Italy
Daniele Cesini	INFN, Italy

Automatic Landform Classification: Spatial Methods and Applications (ALCSMA 2021)

Maria Danese   ISPC, National Research Council, Italy
Dario Gioia   ISPC, National Research Council, Italy

Application of Numerical Analysis to Imaging Science (ANAIS 2021)

Caterina Fenu   University of Cagliari, Italy
Alessandro Buccini   University of Cagliari, Italy

Advances in Information Systems and Technologies for Emergency Management, Risk Assessment and Mitigation Based on the Resilience Concepts (ASTER 2021)

Maurizio Pollino   ENEA, Italy
Marco Vona   University of Basilicata, Italy
Amedeo Flora   University of Basilicata, Italy
Chiara Iacovino   University of Basilicata, Italy
Beniamino Murgante   University of Basilicata, Italy

Advances in Web Based Learning (AWBL 2021)

Birol Ciloglugil   Ege University, Turkey
Mustafa Murat Inceoglu   Ege University, Turkey

Blockchain and Distributed Ledgers: Technologies and Applications (BDLTA 2021)

Vladimir Korkhov   St. Petersburg University, Russia
Elena Stankova   St. Petersburg University, Russia
Nataliia Kulabukhova   St. Petersburg University, Russia

Bio and Neuro Inspired Computing and Applications (BIONCA 2021)

Nadia Nedjah   State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Luiza De Macedo Mourelle   State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Computational and Applied Mathematics (CAM 2021)

Maria Irene Falcão   University of Minho, Portugal
Fernando Miranda   University of Minho, Portugal

Computational and Applied Statistics (CAS 2021)

Ana Cristina Braga   University of Minho, Portugal

Computerized Evaluation of Economic Activities: Urban Spaces (CEEA 2021)

Diego Altafini   Università di Pisa, Italy
Valerio Cutini   Università di Pisa, Italy

Computational Geometry and Applications (CGA 2021)

Marina Gavrilova	University of Calgary, Canada

Collaborative Intelligence in Multimodal Applications (CIMA 2021)

Robertas Damasevicius	Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania
Rytis Maskeliunas	Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania

Computational Optimization and Applications (COA 2021)

Ana Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal
Humberto Rocha	University of Coimbra, Portugal

Computational Astrochemistry (CompAstro 2021)

Marzio Rosi	University of Perugia, Italy
Cecilia Ceccarelli	University of Grenoble, France
Stefano Falcinelli	University of Perugia, Italy
Dimitrios Skouteris	Master-Up, Italy

Computational Science and HPC (CSHPC 2021)

Elise de Doncker	Western Michigan University, USA
Fukuko Yuasa	High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Japan
Hideo Matsufuru	High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Japan

Cities, Technologies and Planning (CTP 2021)

Malgorzata Hanzl	University of Łódź, Poland
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Ljiljana Zivkovic	Ministry of Construction, Transport and Infrastructure/Institute of Architecture and Urban and Spatial Planning of Serbia, Serbia
Anastasia Stratigea	National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy

Advanced Modeling E-Mobility in Urban Spaces (DEMOS 2021)

Tiziana Campisi	Kore University of Enna, Italy
Socrates Basbas	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
Ioannis Politis	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
Florin Nemtanu	Polytechnic University of Bucharest, Romania
Giovanna Acampa	Kore University of Enna, Italy
Wolfgang Schulz	Zeppelin University, Germany

Digital Transformation and Smart City (DIGISMART 2021)

Mauro Mazzei   National Research Council, Italy

**Econometric and Multidimensional Evaluation in Urban Environment
(EMEUE 2021)**

Carmelo Maria Torre   Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Maria Cerreta   University "Federico II" of Naples, Italy
Pierluigi Morano   Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Simona Panaro   University of Portsmouth, UK
Francesco Tajani   Sapienza University of Rome, Italy
Marco Locurcio   Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy

**The 11th International Workshop on Future Computing System Technologies
and Applications (FiSTA 2021)**

Bernady Apduhan   Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Rafael Santos   Brazilian National Institute for Space Research, Brazil

**Transformational Urban Mobility: Challenges and Opportunities During
and Post COVID Era (FURTHER 2021)**

Tiziana Campisi   Kore University of Enna, Italy
Socrates Basbas   Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
Dilum Dissanayake   Newcastle University, UK
Kh Md Nahiduzzaman   University of British Columbia, Canada
Nurten Akgün Tanbay   Bursa Technical University, Turkey
Khaled J. Assi   King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals,
                             Saudi Arabia
Giovanni Tesoriere   Kore University of Enna, Italy
Motasem Darwish   Middle East University, Jordan

**Geodesign in Decision Making: Meta Planning and Collaborative Design
for Sustainable and Inclusive Development (GDM 2021)**

Francesco Scorza   University of Basilicata, Italy
Michele Campagna   University of Cagliari, Italy
Ana Clara Mourao Moura   Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil

**Geomatics in Forestry and Agriculture: New Advances and Perspectives
(GeoForAgr 2021)**

Maurizio Pollino   ENEA, Italy
Giuseppe Modica   University of Reggio Calabria, Italy
Marco Vizzari   University of Perugia, Italy

Geographical Analysis, Urban Modeling, Spatial Statistics (GEOG-AND-MOD 2021)

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Hartmut Asche	University of Potsdam, Germany

Geomatics for Resource Monitoring and Management (GRMM 2021)

Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Enrico Borgogno Mondino	University of Turin, Italy
Alessandra Capolupo	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Mirko Saponaro	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy

12th International Symposium on Software Quality (ISSQ 2021)

Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria

10th International Workshop on Collective, Massive and Evolutionary Systems (IWCES 2021)

Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Rajdeep Niyogi	Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, India

Land Use Monitoring for Sustainability (LUMS 2021)

Carmelo Maria Torre	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Maria Cerreta	University "Federico II" of Naples, Italy
Massimiliano Bencardino	University of Salerno, Italy
Alessandro Bonifazi	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Pasquale Balena	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Giuliano Poli	University "Federico II" of Naples, Italy

Machine Learning for Space and Earth Observation Data (MALSEOD 2021)

Rafael Santos	Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, Brazil
Karine Ferreira	Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, Brazil

Building Multi-dimensional Models for Assessing Complex Environmental Systems (MES 2021)

Marta Dell'Ovo	Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy
Vanessa Assumma	Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy
Caterina Caprioli	Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy
Giulia Datola	Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy
Federico dell'Anna	Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy

Ecosystem Services: Nature's Contribution to People in Practice. Assessment Frameworks, Models, Mapping, and Implications (NC2P 2021)

Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy
Sabrina Lai	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ana Clara Mourao Moura	Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil
Corrado Zoppi	University of Cagliari, Italy
Dani Broitman	Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Israel

Privacy in the Cloud/Edge/IoT World (PCEIoT 2021)

Michele Mastroianni	University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy
Lelio Campanile	University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy
Mauro Iacono	University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy

Processes, Methods and Tools Towards RESilient Cities and Cultural Heritage Prone to SOD and ROD Disasters (RES 2021)

Elena Cantatore	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Alberico Sonnessa	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Dario Esposito	Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy

Risk, Resilience and Sustainability in the Efficient Management of Water Resources: Approaches, Tools, Methodologies and Multidisciplinary Integrated Applications (RRS 2021)

Maria Macchiaroli	University of Salerno, Italy
Chiara D'Alpaos	Università degli Studi di Padova, Italy
Mirka Mobilia	Università degli Studi di Salerno, Italy
Antonia Longobardi	Università degli Studi di Salerno, Italy
Grazia Fattoruso	ENEA Research Center, Italy
Vincenzo Pellecchia	Ente Idrico Campano, Italy

Scientific Computing Infrastructure (SCI 2021)

Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Natalia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg University, Russia

Smart Cities and User Data Management (SCIDAM 2021)

Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Luigi Mundula	University of Cagliari, Italy
Gianni Fenu	University of Cagliari, Italy
Paolo Nesi	University of Florence, Italy
Paola Zamperlin	University of Pisa, Italy

**13th International Symposium on Software Engineering Processes
and Applications (SEPA 2021)**

Sanjay Misra   Covenant University, Nigeria

Ports of the Future - Smartness and Sustainability (SmartPorts 2021)

Patrizia Serra   University of Cagliari, Italy
Gianfranco Fancello   University of Cagliari, Italy
Ginevra Balletto   University of Cagliari, Italy
Luigi Mundula   University of Cagliari, Italy
Marco Mazzarino   University of Venice, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso   University of Trieste, Italy
Maria del Mar Munoz   Universidad de Cádiz, Spain
    Leonisio

Smart Tourism (SmartTourism 2021)

Giuseppe Borruso   University of Trieste, Italy
Silvia Battino   University of Sassari, Italy
Ginevra Balletto   University of Cagliari, Italy
Maria del Mar Munoz   Universidad de Cádiz, Spain
    Leonisio
Ainhoa Amaro Garcia   Universidad de Alcalà/Universidad de Las Palmas,
                              Spain
Francesca Krasna   University of Trieste, Italy

**Sustainability Performance Assessment: Models, Approaches and Applications
toward Interdisciplinary and Integrated Solutions (SPA 2021)**

Francesco Scorza   University of Basilicata, Italy
Sabrina Lai   University of Cagliari, Italy
Jolanta Dvarioniene   Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania
Valentin Grecu   Lucian Blaga University, Romania
Corrado Zoppi   University of Cagliari, Italy
Iole Cerminara   University of Basilicata, Italy

Smart and Sustainable Island Communities (SSIC 2021)

Chiara Garau   University of Cagliari, Italy
Anastasia Stratigea   National Technical University of Athens, Greece
Paola Zamperlin   University of Pisa, Italy
Francesco Scorza   University of Basilicata, Italy

Science, Technologies and Policies to Innovate Spatial Planning (STP4P 2021)

Chiara Garau   University of Cagliari, Italy
Daniele La Rosa   University of Catania, Italy
Francesco Scorza   University of Basilicata, Italy

Anna Maria Colavitti   University of Cagliari, Italy
Beniamino Murgante   University of Basilicata, Italy
Paolo La Greca   University of Catania, Italy

Sustainable Urban Energy Systems (SURENSYS 2021)

Luigi Mundula   University of Cagliari, Italy
Emilio Ghiani   University of Cagliari, Italy

Space Syntax for Cities in Theory and Practice (Syntax_City 2021)

Claudia Yamu   University of Groningen, The Netherlands
Akkelies van Nes   Western Norway University of Applied Sciences,
                          Norway
Chiara Garau   University of Cagliari, Italy

Theoretical and Computational Chemistry and Its Applications (TCCMA 2021)

Noelia Faginas-Lago   University of Perugia, Italy

13th International Workshop on Tools and Techniques in Software Development Process (TTSDP 2021)

Sanjay Misra   Covenant University, Nigeria

Urban Form Studies (UForm 2021)

Malgorzata Hanzl   Łódź University of Technology, Poland
Beniamino Murgante   University of Basilicata, Italy
Eufemia Tarantino   Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Irena Itova   University of Westminster, UK

Urban Space Accessibility and Safety (USAS 2021)

Chiara Garau   University of Cagliari, Italy
Francesco Pinna   University of Cagliari, Italy
Claudia Yamu   University of Groningen, The Netherlands
Vincenza Torrisi   University of Catania, Italy
Matteo Ignaccolo   University of Catania, Italy
Michela Tiboni   University of Brescia, Italy
Silvia Rossetti   University of Parma, Italy

Virtual and Augmented Reality and Applications (VRA 2021)

Osvaldo Gervasi   University of Perugia, Italy
Damiano Perri   University of Perugia, Italy
Marco Simonetti   University of Perugia, Italy
Sergio Tasso   University of Perugia, Italy

Workshop on Advanced and Computational Methods for Earth Science Applications (WACM4ES 2021)

Luca Piroddi   University of Cagliari, Italy
Laura Foddis   University of Cagliari, Italy
Augusto Montisci   University of Cagliari, Italy
Sergio Vincenzo Calcina   University of Cagliari, Italy
Sebastiano D'Amico   University of Malta, Malta
Giovanni Martinelli   Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia,
                                    Italy/Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Sponsoring Organizations

ICCSA 2021 would not have been possible without the tremendous support of many organizations and institutions, for which all organizers and participants of ICCSA 2021 express their sincere gratitude:

Springer International Publishing AG, Germany
(https://www.springer.com)

Computers Open Access Journal
(https://www.mdpi.com/journal/computers)

IEEE Italy Section, Italy
(https://italy.ieeer8.org/)

Centre-North Italy Chapter IEEE GRSS, Italy
(https://cispio.diet.uniroma1.it/marzano/ieee-grs/index.html)

Italy Section of the Computer Society, Italy
(https://site.ieee.org/italy-cs/)

University of Perugia, Italy
(https://www.unipg.it)

University of Cagliari, Italy
(https://unica.it/)

University of Basilicata, Italy
(http://www.unibas.it)

Monash University, Australia
(https://www.monash.edu/)

Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
(https://www.kyusan-u.ac.jp/)

University of Minho, Portugal
(https://www.uminho.pt/)

Scientific Association Transport Infrastructures, Italy
(https://www.stradeeautostrade.it/associazioni-e-organizzazioni/asit-associazione-scientifica-infrastrutture-trasporto/)

Regione Sardegna, Italy
(https://regione.sardegna.it/)

Comune di Cagliari, Italy
(https://www.comune.cagliari.it/)

Città Metropolitana di Cagliari

Cagliari Accessibility Lab (CAL)
(https://www.unica.it/unica/it/cagliari_accessibility_lab.page/)

Contents – Part VI

**International Workshop on Geodesign in Decision Making:
Meta Planning and Collaborative Design for Sustainable
and Inclusive Development (GDM 2021)**

**11th International Workshop on Future Computing System
Technologies and Applications (FiSTA 2021)**

**International Workshop on Geographical Analysis, Urban Modeling,
Spatial Statistics (GEOG-AND-MOD 2021)**

Asynchronous Mode in the Webgis: A Challenge to Ensure Greater Popular Participation

Patricia PortoCarreiro[1](✉), Patricia Vieira Trinta[2], and Thiago Lima e Lima[3]

[1] CEA/UFPE, Recife-PE and PPG-ACPS/UFMG, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil
ppc@ufpe.br
[2] Centro Universitário Estácio São Luís, São Luís, MA, Brazil
[3] GEOPROEA/EA/UFMG, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

Abstract. The covid-19 pandemic has resumed old discussions about the virtual environments´ different functionalities needed to subsidize online activities synchronously (in real-time) or asynchronyously (not in real-time). This article discusses the inclusion of features in the webgis to ensure that their activities can be promoted in a totally asynchronous way, especially when they aim at popular participation. The discussion was developed within the Geodesign Brazil project, which promoted 12 similar workshops, between March and April 2021, each in a metropolitan region of Brazilian capitals. The project focused on the use of Geodesign supported by Giscolab (Brazilian online platform for Geodesign) to identify problems and create territorial proposals on 10 themes (water infrastructure, agriculture, green infrastructure, energy infrastructure, transport infrastructure, industry and commerce, institutional, residential, tourism and culture, carbon storage). Specifically, this article reports the experience that took place in the Recife metropolitan region's workshop, capital of Pernambuco, state of Northeast Brazil. Since it was decided to apply asynchronous dynamics, adjustments and additions of resources were necessary to make it viable, mostly to ensure users' interest, participation and linkage to the project. The asynchronous mode in webgis is a challenge, as it requires resources for greater clarity in the definition of activities; forms of feedback and personification of users' paths and to incentivize the users to complete the activities proposed.

Keywords: Geographic information system · Participatory planning · Geodesign

1 Introduction: The Collaborative Design and the Popular Participation in Post-pandemic City Planning

In addition to climate change, which may be reaching the point of no return, the COVID-19 pandemic has come to ratify how human activities directly affect the quality of the environment and are responsible for the degradation of biodiversity and, thus, for the vulnerability of our planet.

Modern cities have developed through the growing financialization of the economy, which has led to a concentration of wealth and an increase in social inequalities; high

urban population density and great need for mobility of people and products [1]. And so, they have become gateways to disease, as evidenced by the current pandemic. However, the consequent and compulsory home confinement of humanity caused a change in values and a change in consumption patterns and, mainly, highlighted the essential human needs to: (1) enjoy open spaces and (2) have access to basic products, reinforcing the intrinsic relationship of the rural with the urban world.

Furthermore, emergency moments, as economic crisis in 2008, as in the current health crisis, lead to the emergence of citizenship initiatives to support and care for the most fragile. Such crises often exerted political pressure and promoted legislative changes, adding value and social innovation, showing alternatives for sociability in cities and offering us the possibility of rethinking and redesigning cities, as there is an urgent need to change our way of life.

Digital technologies have been pointing out possibilities of how the city can be apprehended, modeled and managed through the participation of its citizens in the processes of collective socio-spatial decision-making in order to (re)invent it successively; and this is the path in which this article is inserted. For, the covid-19 pandemic resumed old discussions about the different functionalities of digital environments necessary to subsidize online activities synchronously (in real time) or asynchronously (not in real time).

The asynchronous mode in webgis is a challenge, as it requires resources for greater clarity in the definition of activities; forms of feedback and personification of users' paths and promotion of incentives to users to carry out activities. This article specifically discusses the inclusion of resources in webgis to ensure that activities can be promoted in a completely asynchronous manner, especially when they aim at popular participation. Although digital technologies contribute, we also have to find out what their impact on our lives is, in terms of better sociability and, consequently, in combating the emergence of climate and biodiversity.

2   GEODESIGN: The Urban Planning Complexity and the Importance of the Citizen Collaboration and Participation

According to Moura and Freitas [2], Geodesign is product of the evolution of studies that uses geoinformation technologies that prefer representations of spatial reality, focusing on participatory and shared planning by improving communication between different actors in the collective spatial decision-making processes.

Seen as a meta methodology for spatial design processes, in this research, because it adapts to different contexts, scales and proposals, it systematizes the multidisciplinary work of the planning process from the reading and characterization of the area to the development of the proposal, generating models with geographic information and impact simulations supported by digital technologies, which assist in decision making for the preparation of analyzes and spatial projects from the global to the architectural scale [3, 4].

In "The Geodesign framework", Steinitz [5] presents an integrated approach where the design process is divided into stages according to the scale of the intervention and

the dimension of the proposal. As it is a set of methods developed to solve problems at different geographical scales, it is necessary to follow the steps, "Iterations of Geodesign", from a generic methodology that systematizes the spatial process and, for that, it presents the necessary tools and how to apply them (see Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. The team and the Geodesign framework. Source: Steinitz, 2012.

For Steinitz [5], a proposal guided by Geodesign means to develop a project through cooperative work that unite knowledge from different areas of activity between scientists, designers and from the first moment, involving the local population. For this, the team involved in the project must be formed by: (1) designers, (2) digital technologies professionals, (3) geography scientists and (4) local people.

These four agents provide information to the design process organized by "Geodesign Iterations" where answering questions generates answers such as information for the construction of models to describe the area, what can be changed, how to change and what it can cause [6].

These professionals work cooperatively to develop the project. However, the process is not simple or linear and therefore must be coordinated. The difference of Geodesign is the proposal for systematization and awareness of the stages of the design process.

The structure presented by Geodesign is the same for any intervention, which allows the proposal to be adapted to reality and the level of deepening of the answers to the framework questions [6].

The Geodesign application follows a framework and in order to use this framework, the following parameters must be analyzed and defined: (1) The study area delimitation; (2) The level of detail and in-depth of the information; (3) The nature of the intervention; and (4) Who are those affected. Next, it is necessary to know the geography of the area,

its physical and social characteristics, the natural environment and understanding of cultural issues [5].

To filter the process information, it is necessary to define the scale of intervention (local, regional or global), in this process it represents the level of information and details necessary for the project, and, in addition, they are related to the level of the intervention, how many people will be affected, and the style of proposal addressed.

Another important reference to be defined for the project is the dimension of the proposal, this is directly related to the intervention's risks, as the dimension increases, more people are affected and consequently the risk increases. Geodesign interventions can vary from a single-family residential project, where the intervention is restricted to the lot, with little interference in the urban context, to an expanding city that requires a study of natural resources, simulation of impacts, social issues and interferes in a large group. Given this, it is important to consider the form of intervention, the more people involved the less invasive the proposal is, and by decreasing the scale and risks, the proposals become more interventionist [5].

Due to the scope of action of Geodesign, it is impossible to develop a step-by-step for its use, and although it does not propose a linear methodology to be followed, Geodesign presents an organization to guide the flow of necessary information. This organization is presented by Steinitz [5] as a Framework, in the sense of structure or organization, for Geodesign. This framework consists of six questions to guide the process [6].

Among the most used methodological scripts is Steinitz, who published it in the book The Geodesign framework [5]. The author separates the work stages into six, in the form of models. Three of them must be fulfilled as preparatory steps for a workshop, prepared by the technical staff through or without consultation with citizens, depending on the expertise of those involved and the knowledge about the challenges of the case study (Representation Models, Processes and Evaluation). After the preparation steps are over, three more steps are elaborated, which take place during a participatory planning workshop to which the different interest groups in the case study are invited (Models of Change, Impact and Decision) [7].

In addition to the questions, models are presented that help in their respective answers, each question in the framework is answered by a model, also presented in the book. The models offer different information on a specific stage of the project. This framework is repeated three times in a cyclic manner during the process, each of these repetitions making up an iteration.

Iterations, as in algebra, are processes used to solve problems through successive repetition operations. The process consists of repeating the framework questions three times. At the end of each iteration, the product of each one is related to the design process [6].

3 GISCOLAB: Webgis, Planning Support System (PSS) and Metaplanning for Citizen Collaboration and Participation

The inclusion of citizen participation in the spatial decision-making process, mainly for urban planning, both to guarantee their participation and their effective collaboration, has largely been made possible by the evolution of GIS. Initially, such computational systems

were seen as a geographic database, where georeferenced information was arranged in contextualized layers (thematic maps) and could be manipulated and interpreted to obtain analyzes and to support proposals for a spatial area as a result of a consultation.

However, assuming a consensual urban proposal as a result is also assuming it as a shared spatial decision-making process with the inclusion of new actors and new points of view and management of the planning process itself. For that, they need to be supported by several functionalities that are being added to the GIS, generating extensions such as, for example, webgis, PPS and metaplanning.

> In this sense, it can be said that the advent of GIS has expanded from the production and consumption of data to the support of information construction and the inclusion of new actors. In parallel to GIS development the recognition of different stakeholders in a planning process started to have the support of a PSS (Planning Support System), based on clear definition of actors, tasks, responsibilities, flow of use and production of geographic data. The PSS is designed to address complex planning problems by associating **three general components according to a systemic planning approach**: **GIS**, **models and visualization instruments** [2].

With environmental issues on the agenda, especially those related to climate and biodiversity, territorial issues become urgent and, consequently, the spatial decision-making process as well. Thus, laws that require citizens to be consulted on territorial issues of collective interest are being regulated for different planning scales. In the Brazilian legislation, it was from the Federal Constitution of 1984, called citizen's constitution, that the defense of this inclusion of new actors and collective decisions in the planning began. Principles related to regional and urban planning were inserted in the City Statute, law 10.257 of 2001, which defines that citizen participation and shared decisions in planning are mandatory.

Because the planning process is highly dependent on the spatial, normative, socio-cultural context, of the scale and of the technical competence, the replication of PSS in con-texts other than those that were initially designed is discouraged. The PSS should not be reused, except when there is a restructuring of its architecture according to the context of the new process [8].

Thus, a tool is needed to guide the creation of a metaproject (project of the planning process) of the project's path, with a defined time, but at the same time flexible, to guarantee the understanding on the part of all the participants in the phases of work. In addition to this, it is necessary that information and supports are explained before the process to create an open, shared and common knowledge base.

It is suggested that Geodesign can be seen as this tool, a metamethodology, to support the creation of metaprojects, as it favors the creation of different representations of the spatial reality that interact and create a common understanding of planning issues. In addition to improving the visualization of information and communication between different actors for participatory and shared planning.

According to Moura and Freitas [2], the evolution of the idea of Geodesign is structured in the areas of geovisualization, geo-collaboration, citizen participation, web platform and production of information. Based on the extensive practical experience and data obtained in 35 workshops using the Geodesign´s traditional framework, the authors

built a Brazilian platform for Geodesign, o GiscoLAB, to provide integrated and geo-referenced information, enabling a wide availability of data for subsidize collaborative initiatives in urban planning.

Such an undertaking wants to provide a tool with resources such as SDI (Spatial Data Infrastructure) and WebGis, enabling an open architecture to dialogue with other systems and to support functionalities with a focus on co-creation and geo-collaboration [2] (see Fig. 2).


Fig. 2. Giscolab´s the conceptual architecture. Source: Moura and Freitas (2020)

Based on this understanding, the GISCOLAB was used in this estudoa for discussions over the territory. He has performed as a powerful tool of co-creation and geo-collaboration, but this research is to investigate whether its functions are suitable for application in asynchronous mode.

4   Geodesign Brasil Project: RM-Recife

The Used Framework
The thirteen workshops from the research "GEODESIGN BRASIL: TREES FOR METROPOLITAN REGIONS", included the one reported in this article, followed the guidelines of the Geodesign Framework by Carl Steinitz. However, the "evaluation models" were not used, based on experiences reported by the Geoprocessing Laboratory from the School of Architecture in the Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil. The "evaluation models" were criticized in workshops inserted in the Brazilian context by participants who were active and would prefer to produce their own judgment about the territory. Moreover, some of the workshop's participants adopted a passive posture, and didn't reflect about how the data presented operated in the study region [2]. Considering that, a collection of 40 maps was prepared as "process models" to give support to the participants, so they could have information about the studied place.

For this research's workshops, it was considered the requirements by IGC 2021. They have required 10 systems, 8 of them being fixed and 2 of them being flexible. All of them following a color scheme for further visual comparison (see Fig. 3). The flexible systems chosen by this research were carbon sequestration, because of the emphasis in this subject on the event's premise, and tourism/leisure, because of the relevance of the theme in the Brazilian socioeconomic context.

Another expectation of IGC 2021 is to start the workshop from a 2021 current scenario to propose a planning for 2035 and 2050. For this to happen, there were placed three scenarios where the participants, divided in Group A and B during all the experience, would work following a workshop schedule (see Fig. 4).

The participants' proposals should contemplate the 10 systems, but always giving priority to projects that could be associated with carbon credit. Moreover, at the end of the workshop, an increase the area of robust vegetation by 30% by 2050, as a contribution to carbon sequestration,

Fig. 3. Geodesign systems and colors by IGC 2021

was expected. In this way, the project contribution to the "Trillion Trees Initiative" and to project and global Carbon Storage could be evaluated [9].

IGC Requirements

The proposals produced by the participants should take into account the Seventeen Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) proposed by ONU for further assessment. Moreover, they must have considered the Global Assumptions and Innovations, a list of innovations that would occur by the year of 2050 identified by a group of experts [9].


Fig. 4. Geodesign workshop schedule and groups, by the authors.

The Data Production and Giscolab Platform

Considering the established workshop systems, a technical team decided which data would compose the "process models" used as support for the geodesign workshops. Primarily, the data layers to be used for each system were chosen and posteriorly a research regarding where to find them was conducted. The downloaded data originated "representation models" and, after a series of treatment on ArGIS, the "process models" were generated and organized in layers within the 10 systems (see Fig. 5).


Fig. 5. Example of the Ombrophilous Forest layer as a "representation model" and its transformation in "process model", which is interpreted and displayed as Landscape Metrics used in this research (shape index, core area, connectivity and synthesis), by the authors.

The participants used a login and password made available by the workshop mediators to access the project in your metropolitan region. Once inside the project, the participant accessed the "Context" where they would work that day, following the schedule (see Fig. 6).

Workshop Framework

First day: Reading Enrichment

The participants got informed about the characteristics of the territory and indicated potentialities, vulnerabilities in the 2020 scenario through the tool of "Annotations" on the Giscolab platform (see Fig. 7). The collection of 40 maps of "representation models" were available for query.

Second day: Non-Innovative

Firstly, the participants read the annotations available on the "reading enrichment context" of the A and B groups. The participants constructed ideas for "Late Adopter" 2035 and "Non-Adopter" 2050, through the Dialogues tool (see Fig. 8). The A group projected for the 2035 year and the B group for the year of 2050. It was necessary that the

Fig. 6. Giscolab interface after the participant's login, by the authors.

Fig. 7. Insertion of an annotation in the Giscolab platform, by the authors.

participants followed a temporal logic, thus the A group thought about proposals that necessarily should be initiated in 2035, so they could have a chance to be achieved until 2050. Successively, the B group needed to continue the ideas stablished in 2035, so a mismatch among the proposal didn't happen.

Third day: Innovative

A and B groups constructed ideas for "Early Adopter" 2035 and "Late-Adopter" 2050, respectively, through the Dialogues tool, following the temporal logic exposed in the second stage of the workshop. In this day, the participants used the Global Assumptions and Innovations provided by IGC 2021. The use of this list was not obligatory. They also had the target to increase of 30% of CCO2 until 2050, using the tool Widgets that calculates the percentage reached, number of trees and the sequestration of CO2 above and below ground.

Fig. 8. Insertion of a dialog in the Giscolab platform, by the authors.

According to [10], the problem of the balance of carbon sequestration could be resolved until 2050, if the increment of 30% of robust vegetation of the world happens. This publication brings a quantitative of trees that exists in the world and from this data, a calculation of tree units to be planted in every of the 13 metropolitan regions, this goa in km^2 and also the proportion regarding the 30% to be reached. Beyond that, according to maps made available by [11], was made a calculation of the sequestration of CO2 above and below ground (see Table 1).

Table 1. Data regarding carbon sequestration from the Recife's metropolitan region, by the authors.

Total Trees	6447092
Area/km²	453,25
Area/ha	45325,22
Trees/km²	14224,1412
Trees/ha	142,2407216
Target of 30% Increase in Trees	1934127,6
30% in km²	135,975
CO² Above Ground	1206635
CO2 Below Ground	379849
30% CO2 Above Ground	361990,5
30% CO2 Below Ground	113954,7

Fourth day: Very innovative.
In this stage, A and B groups constructed ideas for "Early-Adopter" 2050, following the temporal logic exposed in the second stage of the workshop, through the "Dialogues" tool. The used of the Global Assumptions and Innovations and Widgets regarding carbon sequestration remained.

For this last stage of the workshop, the construction of ideas for all the 10 systems should be guaranteed. Besides, the minimum reach of 30% of carbon credit expansion, area expansion, number of trees and CO_2 levels below and above ground had to be reached. The design proposals from A and B groups were integrated in one final design through comments and voting on the "Dialogues" tool.

Questionnaire.
After the completion of the workshop activities, a questionnaire produced on Google Docs was sent in order to outline the participants' profile. Among the required information are name; studied metropolitan region; knowledge, potential and vulnerabilities of geodesign; knowledge and interest in the territory; opinion on the difficulty of the methodological process and the Giscolab platform; between others.

5 Asynchronous Mode: The RM-Recife Case Study

Synchronicity is the quality or state of being synchronic, of what occurs at the same time, simultaneously [12]. It means making something happen at the same time. In virtual environments, synchronous and asynchronous modes refer to two possible types of online interactions. In the first, the activities (learning, communication, work, fun,…) are carried out with the participants running them online, but at the same time. In the second mode, each participant will perform them at a different time. Both options have their advantages and disadvantages and some things in common, but require different features to be supported by digital environments.

The main benefit of the synchronous mode is that there is real interaction with other people, even if virtually, allowing exchanges and feedbacks (knowledge, experiences, points of view, …) between the participants, in addition to the activities taking place on a scheduled basis. The main benefit of the asynchronous mode, on the other hand, is that participants can perform activities at their own pace and schedule, with a reduction in the need for people to travel, greater range of participants and less infrastructure. Both can be used in a complementary way, there is no better way, but the most suitable for certain activities and for a socio-cultural reality.

In our case, the Geodesign workshop at RM-Recife, was initially designed to be synchronous like all the other 12, the option for asynchronous mode occurred abruptly, one week before the start of activities, to meet the various requests from interested parties who claimed clash of hours with other online activities and greater convenience in being able to program.

So, this research was born, under the largest of Geodesign Brazil, as an answer to the need to make the conditions for its realization flexible within an extremely complex context, the pandemic peak of the 2nd wave of COVID-19 in March 2021. And still, taking advantage to verify the robustness of the Giscolab tool, as well as the applicability of Geodesign in cases of building a spatial proposal with the participation of a community in a media city.

Thus, the central objective of the RM-Recife experiment became, in addition to collaborating with Geodesign Brazil, to assess the challenges of the asynchronous mode in the spatial decision-making process by checking the suitability of Geodesign and Giscolab. Specifically, it would also be like keeping people interested throughout the course of this process.

Thus, all stages of the workshop were carried out asynchronously. To make this possible, a YouTube channel IGC 2021 _ RM-Recife[1] was created first where participants were provided with a series of tutorial videos that explained each step and exemplified how they would be implemented in Giscolab (see Fig. 9).


Fig. 9. YouTube channel with explanatory videos by Prof. Ana Clara Mourão from EA / UFMG.

In addition, two WhatsApp groups, A and B, were set up, according to the research dynamics of Geodesign Brasil, for communication between participants and mediators, making it possible to clarify doubts about the process, share ideas and even report errors that happened on the Giscolab platform.

In the first stage, there was a certain inertia of the participants, after viewing the explanatory videos, in the execution of activities in Giscolab, so tutorials in PDF were made, exclusive for RM-Recife, with a step by step to be done by clarifying and exemplifying in greater detail the execution of activities at Giscolab. The repercussion of these tutorials caused some participants to follow their paths and complete the workshop.

With the asynchronous mode, although the number of participants doubled (30 people registered in all), only 8 participated and 5 concluded the workshop. Among those enrolled we had people linked to architecture and urbanism, geography, cartography, water resources management and the environment.

---

[1] The Youtube Channel IGC 2021 _ RM-Recife can be found in the following link: https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLW17cGAXz6HpyI_QpxvhVSmX3YS5NYtxc.

The group of participants who concluded declared that the main difficulty was to apprehend the complexity of the project on a regional scale and to be confident in their own decision-making. They justified such difficulties by declaring:

a) The importance of different profiles for the construction of a coherent spatial proposal;
b) The difficulty of spatializing georeferenced information;
c) The difficulty of crossing data that requires special knowledge and spatial reasoning;
d) The difficulty of constructing complementary views, because there remains a specific and personal view.

In short, once it was decided by the asynchronous dynamics, adjustments and additions of resources, described above, were necessary to make it feasible. The asynchronous mode proved to be a challenge, as it requires resources before the implementation of activities in Giscolab for greater clarity in the definition of activities; forms of feedback and personification of users' paths and promotion of incentives for users to carry out and conclude activities.

In Giscolab, the asynchronous mode that seemed to bring ease due to the flexibility of time and work pace of each participant, initially proved to be an obstacle to the proper functioning of the workshop itself, since spatial decision-making guided by Geodesign requires a shared build. Because it is believed that it is necessary to build a vision that composes the various interests first in the formulation of the spatial problems of the studied area and, as this decision process matures, it results in consensual solutions.

It was evident that it is not possible to build shared knowledge through the various visions and knowledge of the various participants without necessarily having interaction between people, even without being in the same physical space. It is fundamental to the shared decision-making process that Geodesign proposes to synchronicity.

6 Conclusions and Discussions: Challenges to Expand Collaboration and Popular Participation in Urban Planning

The IGC 2021 _ RM-Recife workshop was not designed to take place in asynchronous mode, but to reach a larger number of participants and test the Geodesign metamethodology and the Giscolab webgis tool, this dynamic was tested.

Initially, in this embryonic research, the asynchronous mode seems to be incompatible with the dynamics proposed by Geodesign, although its implementation in Giscolab is viable. You can add internal communication features (chats, bulletin board,...), version management (who did what and when) and improve the tool's support for asynchronous mode. However, in relation to Geodesign, its core is the construction of knowledge shared by the contribution of several specialized views that complement each other and that respond to the necessary complexity to a response of making a spatial decision. In other words, the process needs to be collaborative so that the product reflects the scalar and dimensional complexity, to guarantee greater popular participation in collaborative territorial projects.

Finally, the asynchronous mode requires more studies to be adopted with Geodesign. However, it is worth expanding the discussion about which steps or processes in Geodesign can be asynchronous, or should all steps be synchronous.

References

1. UN-HABITAT: Planning Sustainable Cities: Global Report on Human Settlements (2009). http://unhabitat.org/books/global-report-on-human-settlements-2009-planning-sustainable-cities/. Accessed 09 May 2017
2. Gervasi, O., et al. (eds.): ICCSA 2020. LNCS, vol. 12251. Springer, Cham (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58808-3
3. Hoeven, F., Nijhuis, S., Zlatanova, S., Dias, E., Spek, S.: Geo-design: Avanços na conexão entre tecnologia de informação geográfica, planejamento urbano e arquitetura de paisagem. Pesquisa em Série de Urbanismo (RiUS), vol. 4, ISSN 1875–0192 (impresso), E-ISSN 1879–8217 (online) Delft: TU Delft Open (2016)
4. Flaxman, M., Steinitz, C., Faris, M., Canfield, T., Vargas-Moreno, J.C.: Alternative Futures for the Telluride Region. Telluride Foundation, Colorado (2010)
5. Steinitz, C.: A Framework for Geodesign: Changing Geography by Design. ESRI Press, Redlands (2012)
6. Lee, D.J., Dias, E., Scholten, H.J. (eds.): Geodesign by Integrating Design and Geospatial Sciences. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2014)
7. Moura, A.C.M.: O Geodesign como processo de co-criação de acordos coletivos para a paisagem territorial e urbana. In: Ladwig, N.I., Campos, J.B. (org.). Planejamento e gestão territorial: o papel e os instrumentos do planejamento territorial na interface entre o urbano e o rural. Cap. 1, UNESC, Criciúma - SC (2019)
8. Campagna, M.: Sistemas de Suporte ao Planejamento (Planning Support Systems): Retrospectivas e Prospectivas. In: Moura, A.C.M. (Org.). Tecnologias de Geoinformação para representar e planejar o território urbano. 1ed.Rio de Janeiro: Interciência, vol. 1, pp. 219–258 (2016)
9. IGC: Projects workflow: How will IGC studies be carried out? (2021). https://www.igc-geodesign.org/project-workflow. Accessed 20 June 2021
10. Crowther, T.W., et al.: Mapping tree density at a global scale. Nature **525**(7568), 201–205 (2015)
11. Spawn, S.A., Gibbs, H.K.: Global Aboveground and Belowground Biomass Carbon Density Maps for the Year 2010. ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge (2020)
12. Sincronicidade. In: DICIO. Dicionário Online de Português. https://www.dicio.com.br/sincronicide/. Accessed 08 May 2021